- 1 In attendance: Dwight Brew, Will Ludt, Jared Hardner, Chris Yates, Tim Kachmar (remote), and
- 2 Tracie Adams.
- Staff in attendance: Nic Strong, Community Development Director, and Kristan Patenaude –
 Recording Secretary (remote)
- 5 Also in attendance: Steve Whitman, and Zak Brohinsky (remote), Resilience Planning & Design,
- 6 and Bill Stoughton
- 7 8

1. Call to Order

- 9 Dwight Brew called the meeting to order at 6:31pm.
- 10
- 11

2. Presentation on Water Resource Plan – Jared Hardner

Jared Hardner explained that the Conservation Commission (ACC) has been brainstorming on how to be helpful and create content for the Master Plan update. He explained that the public survey ranked protecting and securing water resources in town as the highest priority. Thus, the ACC has sought concrete, actionable items to address this. Of the 1639 respondents, 85.2% listed water resources as the highest or high priority. This survey also ranked natural resource protection, such as protecting drinking water surface water the aquifer and dealing with

17 protection, such as protecting drinking water, surface water, the aquifer, and dealing with

- 18 flooding/instream flow, as a high priority.
- 19

20 Jared Hardner explained that there are three sources of drinking water in Town: fractured rock

21 aquifers (granite), alluvial aquifers (sand & gravel), and water utilities such as Pennichuck.

22 Fractured rock aquifers deal with water flowing through fractures in the rock. In these

circumstances it is unclear where the water is traveling from and unclear the productivity of

- 24 nearby wells. However, water travels more easily through alluvial aquifers. This area is very
- 25 productive for water in the alluvial aquifer zone. It is considered one of the best, if not the best,
- in the entire State. There are also some areas in Town that are supplied by Pennichuck for their
 water. In regard to if development will diminish the availability of the quantity of these drinking
- 27 water sources, it will not have an effect on water utilities, it is unlikely to have an effect on
- 29 alluvial aquifers, and it is unknown if this would have an effect on fractured rock aquifers. In
- 30 regard to if development will degrade the quality/safety of these drinking water sources, it will
- 31 not have an effect on water utilities, it will have an effect on alluvial aquifers, and it is possible

32 to have an effect on fractured rock aquifers. He explained that the concern is the amount and

density of proposed developments built on top of the alluvial aquifer in Town. Some of the

34 highest quality aquifers in Town, such as those along Route 101A, have already been developed

35 on top of, unfortunately, and their quality is diminished. There are some small areas within this

36 zone that can still be protected and used for a public water supply in the future. He mentioned

37 that PFOA's are complex issue and can be spread airborne. As more and more people test in

Town, contamination has been found further spread out. More testing is needed to determine thefull range of contamination and range of sources.

40

41 The ACC has examined ways to ensure that new developments will have high quality water

- 42 available. Certain actions that could be included in the Master Plan are:
- Educating Amherst residents on their sources of drinking water

44 • Recommending that the Planning Board investigate community wells for future 45 developments • ensure water quality for residents of future developments 46 o ensure water quantity for future and current residents 47 48 Recommend that the Planning Board strengthen its requirements for private wells • 49 o minimum drinking water standards before granting a certificate of occupancy o improved capacity testing for new wells 50 51 Recommend that the Planning Board evaluate septic controls • Investigate protecting lands with the potential for community wells 52 • 53 o reclassify groundwater areas to GA or GA1 under the New Hampshire 54 Groundwater Protection Act 1991 (currently there is no active management in 55 Amherst in many areas) 56 acquire conservation lands with groundwater resources (example, Buck Meadow) 0 57 Jared Hardner explained that, in terms of surface water quality in Town, there are three existing watersheds: Baboosic Brook (38% of Amherst, 7% developed, 29% protected); Souhegan River 58 59 (53% of Amherst, 23% developed, 15% protected); and Pennichuck Brook (8% of Amherst, 45% 60 developed, 1% protected). Baboosic Brook has the greatest potential to conserve a healthy 61 watershed. There is more that can be done for the Souhegan River watershed, and there's not 62 much that can be done for Pennichuck Brook as it has already been heavily developed over. He 63 explained that some of the lakes, ponds, and streams in Town already exceed EPA water quality 64 limits for things such as E coli, phosphorus, pH, etc. Baboosic Lake Beach and Young Judaea 65 Beach have both been impaired in the past by E coli and cyanobacteria. While the TMDL's have been calculated and most point sources are regulated by the EPA, it is the non-point sources 66 67 (yard fertilizers, farms, parking lot drainage, etc.) that are the key source of these issues and are 68 not being currently controlled. The Town would need to decide to identify and work towards 69 regulating the non-point sources. Private septic systems may also contribute to these problems. 70 71 Certain actions that could be included in the Master Plan to deal with surface water quality 72 include: 73 • Recommending greater implementation of the Amherst Stormwater Management Plan 74 (last updated 2011) per the MS4 permit Recommend that the Planning Board evaluate septic controls 75 • 76 Recommend that the Board of Selectmen improve agricultural nonpoint source controls • 77 Recommend continued efforts to increase natural habitat protection • 78 0 watersheds need to be 70-75% naturally vegetated to be kept healthy. There are 79 areas in the north side of Town where this would be completed. 80 Recommend a watershed-scale assessment of costs and benefits of development • restrictions in sensitive locations in Town 81 82 based on results zoning and planning ordinances could be updated. This looks to 0 strategically locate developments in Town to reduce water quality impairment. 83 84

85	Jared Hardner stated that water flows through the watersheds in Town. The rate at which it flows		
86	through is affected by the amount of development within the watershed. As natural habitats are		
87	removed, there is a higher volume of water flowing in a shorter amount of time, thus potentially		
88	leading to flooding. Natural vegetation holds the water in place and allows it to leach into the		
89	land over a longer period of time. 89% of Amherst is outside of the 100- and 500-year flood		
90	plains. It is not expected that a large number of structures in this area would be affected by		
91	flooding. However, there are certain areas in Town that may be affected by flooding, especially		
92	in terms of roads then being blocked. Pinch points in roadways affect many residents and		
93	emergency access. Certain actions that could be included in the master plan to deal with flooding		
94	include:		
95	• Recommend that the Police and Fire Departments develop access plans for floods		
96	• Recommend that the DPW plan to upgrade culverts and bridges at strategic locations in		
97	Town		
98	• Recommend protection of natural habitat in locations with water retention capacity.		
99			
100	Jared Hardner noted that increased flooding is likely in the future due to climate change.		
101			
102	Jared Hardner summarized key points for considerations for the Master Plan update, including:		
103	Recommendations that developments should proactively integrate water resource		
104	protection		
105	• Identify and protect strategic areas in Town for groundwater resources, natural habitat		
106	cover necessary for surface water quality, and flood water retention		
107	• Strengthen measures to manage future impacts such as the Amherst Stormwater		
108	Management Plan, community wells, new private well requirements, and septic		
109	requirements.		
110			
111	In response to a question from Will Ludt regarding wells in the Village that Amherst used in the		
112	1980's through its Water District, Jared Hardner stated that these wells are no longer in use by		
113	Pennichuck due to high levels of manganese.		
114			
115	In response to a question from Will Ludt regarding if neighboring towns are as judicious with		
116	their water supplies, Jared Hardner stated that it depends on the watershed. There are small		
117	tributaries coming in from the north. The Souhegan River watershed covers approximately 250		
118	miles to the northwest, with water coming through Amherst from various towns upstream. Some		
119	of the streams that originate in Amherst and flow into the Souhegan River are heavily polluted		
120	by non-point sources, and these are things Amherst is solely responsible for.		
121			
122	Will Ludt noted that he believes a video showing residents where their water comes from would		
123	be a great addition. Jared Hardner explained that the ACC has a contact at Pennichuck who		
124	might be able to help with this project.		
125			
126	Tim Kachmar asked what the Town has done to establish a baseline of water quality and		
127	quantity. He noted that developments will continue to progress in Town, and it will be important		
128	to have a baseline study in order to measure possible impacts to water resources in Town. Jared		

APPROVED

129 Hardner stated that, while this would be ideal, it is likely not possible to achieve a baseline 130 report. Doing this work has shown that, in terms of quantity, Pennichuck customers will not run 131 out of water, alluvial aquifers will likely not run out of water, but fractured rock aquifers could 132 be in danger of running out of water. This is an issue if new developments continue to be placed 133 along fractured rock aguifers. He is suggesting that new developments have community wells, as 134 these require more rigorous testing than private wells. This water would also be treated by a 135 professional operator, so that the people within the development are receiving clean drinking 136 water. 137 138 Chris Yates asked about having community education on fertilizer, detergent, and deicer use as

- 139 part of a long-term strategy for water resource protection. Jared Hardner stated that this can
- 140 occur as part of the Amherst Stormwater Management Plan, but that broader education would be
- helpful. The community needs to think about these issues in terms of the scale of the watershed
- 142 itself.
- 143
- 144 In response to a question from Will Ludt, Jared Hardner stated that he assumes Camp Young
- 145 Judaea is aware of the impairment in its water, but he has not communicated with them directly 146 about this.
- 147 148

3. Review of Updated Master Plan Vision and Themes

149 Dwight Brew noted that, at the last Planning Board meeting, Planning Board Chair Arnie

150 Rosenblatt appointed Tracie Adams as Vice Chair of the Master Plan Steering Committee.

- 151 Dwight Brew explained that this will be his last meeting with the Committee, as he is not
- running for reelection to the Board of Selectmen. He thanked the Committee and all those
- 153 involved for their work and noted that he appreciates everyone's efforts. He believes the vision,
- themes, and actions all support one another and seem in agreement with the initial survey and
- 155 most of the public outreach. He suggested that the group not allow the recent public outreach to
- have more impact than the initial survey, as the initial survey had approximately 20 times more input. He also suggested that the group avoid moving items forward that are not directly tied to
- 158 the survey, without a larger discussion and consensus among the Committee. He believes
- 159 anything brought up outside of the surveys would need to have a lot of additional discussion
- 160 before inclusion. He suggested that the draft vision, themes, and actions be communicated to the
- 161 Planning Board and larger public.
- 162
- 163 Steve Whitman agreed with Dwight Brew. He suggested that the Committee give feedback and

164 suggestions on the draft Master Plan outline before drafting a memo to share with the Planning

- 165 Board, before sharing it more broadly. He questioned if it will be possible to put this all together
- 166 quickly enough to share with the Planning Board at its meeting this Wednesday.
- 167
- 168 Bill Stoughton, 11 Pinetop Road and Vice Chair of the Planning Board, explained that the
- 169 Planning Board would likely have time to review this item at its meeting this Wednesday.
- 170 However, the Planning Board's first March meeting had a full agenda. The next meeting in
- 171 March will likely be an organizational meeting, as there will be new members, and elections for

Minutes approved: July 26, 2022

172 officers will be held. He believes this Wednesday would be the time to get feedback on the draft 173 from the Planning Board.

174

175 Dwight Brew noted that he would also like to have the opportunity to speak to the Department 176 Heads and Board of Selectmen on this draft, while still a member of this Committee. A brief 177 memo, referencing the draft documents, could be submitted to the Planning Board for review at 178 their Wednesday meeting, so that these can then be more broadly distributed. Bill Stoughton

179 suggested that this information could be submitted to the Planning Board Chair in parallel with 180 submitting it to the Department Heads.

181 182

4. **Draft Master Plan Outline**

183 The Committee discussed the draft vision document. Jared Hardner stated that he believes the 184 edits made to the document are appropriate. This document may continue to be edited along the 185 way.

186

187 Steve Whitman addressed the draft actionable items document submitted by Will Ludt regarding 188 heritage items for the Master Plan. He questioned if some of the actions should be included in the 189 Master Plan or completed internally by the Heritage Commission. Will Ludt explained that his 190 intention is to get more public participation than there currently is. Chris Yates stated that some 191 of these items could be taken by the Heritage Commission after the fact and worked on. Steve 192 Whitman stated that he will include Will Ludt's action suggestions into the document for now, to

193 then be reviewed at a later date, if needed.

194

195 Steve Whitman noted that he will replace action items #22-32 with the items outlined in Jared 196 Hardner's PowerPoint presentation from this evening.

197

198 Will Ludt stated that he does not believe the proper number of action items are being mentioned 199 regarding the schools. Steve Whitman stated that there are not usually items for the schools listed 200 in the Master Plan, as these are handled by a different set of regulating boards. This is a land use 201 document. The schools are mentioned in the vision and the content sections, but these are 202 managed by a different body. Unless there are specific actions regarding land use and schools, 203 there is not much more to add. Dwight Brew stated that there is a lot of danger in taking over the 204 powers of another statutory board. However, there could be an item stating that there will be 205 limited phasing of new developments to align with the school's ability to include new students. 206 Steve Whitman stated that the Master Plan can look for ways to align those, or study that. Chris 207 Yates agreed that there has not been a lot of discussion during Planning Board application 208 hearings regarding phasing and accurate information from departments such as DPW or the 209 schools, in order to make a case for phasing a development. Steve Whitman noted that action 210 item #28 is proposed to be for a Town wide Fiscal Impact Analysis or Cost of Community 211 Services Study and use this to determine something about greater development in Town. This 212 would be a holistic approach looking at all of the departments in Town and the associated 213 studies. Tracie Adams agreed that this holistic approach containing data points is needed for the 214 Planning Board. Dwight Brew explained that not many understand how to estimate impacts in

APPROVED

215	this overall, holistic way. At some point these impacts lead to an increase in services. A new		
216	house could also bring in taxes to cover some of these municipal costs.		
217			
218	5.	Outreach and Engagement Report	
219	The Committee reviewed the draft outline document. Steve Whitman asked that photos begin to		
220	be compiled by the Committee and shared for the Master Plan.		
221	1		
222	Tim Kachmar expressed concern regarding the draft Vision statement that "Amherst's municipal		
223	facilities reflect a commitment to the residents, embody sustainability and community resilience,		
224	and have inspired private property owners to pursue energy conservation, renewable energy, and		
225	regenerative landscaping projects of their own." He stated that it sounds political without a lot of		
226	meaning behind it. Steve Whitman agreed that this statement could be simplified.		
220	meaning b	ennia it. Steve wintman agreed that this statement could be simplified.	
228	Tracie Ada	ims stated that there are only two items: redevelopment – keeps the look of existing	
229	structures, and education, that are not included in this draft document from the 10 key		
230	recommended items mentioned in the previous Master Plan document. The redevelopment piece		
230	is mentioned throughout the Master Plan, though, regarding keeping the rural character of the		
231	Town.		
232	TOWII.		
233	It was noted that feedback from the Dianning Doord can be sent to Desiliance via Nie Strong		
234	It was noted that feedback from the Planning Board can be sent to Resilience via Nic Strong. Steve Whitman stated that these sections can likely begin to be written next month.		
235	Sleve will	inian stated that these sections can fikely begin to be written fiext monul.	
230	The Comm	sittee reviewed the public input and how the public will continue to be informed on	
237	The Committee reviewed the public input and how the public will continue to be informed on these items.		
238 239	these items).	
	6	Actions by Thoma	
240	6.	Actions by Theme	
241	Inis item v	vas previously discussed.	
242	7	A	
243	7.	Approval of Minutes January 25, 2022	
244	T		
245		acie Adams moved to approve the meeting minutes of January 25, 2022, as	
246		sented. Will Ludt seconded.	
247	Voting: Dwight Brew - aye, Chris Yates - abstain, Tracie Adams – aye; Will Ludt -		
248	aye	e, and Jared Hardner – aye; 4-0-1 motion carried.	
249	_		
250	8. Old/New Business –		
251	Tracie Ada	ims thanked Dwight Brew for his leadership and work on the Committee.	
252			
253	Jared Hardner moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:01pm. Tracie Adams seconded.		
254	Voting: Dwight Brew - aye, Chris Yates - aye, Tracie Adams – aye, Will Ludt - aye,		
255	and	l Jared Hardner – aye; 5-0-0 motion carried.	
256			
257	Respectfully submitted,		
250	<i>IZ</i> •	7	

258 Kristan Patenaude

TOWN OF AMHERST Master Plan Steering Committee

February 14, 2022

259 Minutes approved: July 26, 2022