1	AMHERST PLANNING BOARD
2	Wednesday May 2, 2018
3	
4	In attendance: A. Rosenblatt- Chair, P. Lyon-Selectman Ex-Officio, M. Dell Orfano, R.Hart, E.
5	Hahn (voting for M. Peterman), S. Wilkins, C Harris.
6	A. Rosenblatt called the meeting to order at 7:33pm.
7	
8	OLD BUSINESS:
9	1. CASE #: PZ9731-033018 – Gal Peretz (Applicant) & 107 Ponemah Road LLC
10	(Owner) – 107 Ponemah Road, PIN #: 001-020-000 – Request for approval for a
11	Conditional Use Permit for (7) units under provisions of IIHO Zoning. Zoned
12 13	General Office. Continued from April 18, 2018.
13	The property (DIN #001-020-000) is legeted at 107 Denomal Dood and is in the Congrel Office
14 15	The property (PIN #001-020-000) is located at 107 Ponemah Road and is in the General Office district. The lot is 2.79 acres. The property was approved for a 15,29ft <sup>2</sup> office condominium in
15 16	the 1990's. In 2003 the Superior Court overturned the ZBA's denial for a variance to convert the
17	office condominiums to residential use.
18	office condominating to residential use.
19	The current owner has a permit to rebuild the three residential units that previously burned, that
20	were in the place of the barn, and wishes to renovate and sell the other units that are in the house
21	and ell. The house is over 5,000ft <sup>2</sup> and is virtually unmarketable as a single unit. The applicant
22	wishes to add up to two (2) units to the existing house and increase the number of approved units
23	from five (5) to seven (7).
24	
25	Gal Peretz presented the case. He explained that he's interested in making the property livable
26	again.
27	A. Rosenblatt stated that the property is approved for five (5) units, they are trying to decide if
28	the house unit can be divided into once (1) additional unit, for a total of six (6), or two (2)
29	additional units, for a total of seven (7).
30	G. Leedy, Director of Community Development, explained that the property has approximately
31 32	1.4 units for base density, 0.14 for attached units, 0.14 for single floor units, and 4 bonus units for reuse of 1 existing structure. This adds up to approximately 5.75 units. The Board has the
33	authority to determine a reasonable density for the site under the IIHO. Based on the approvals
34	that have been gained to date and the requested revision, that it is a reasonable use.
35	that have been gamed to date and the requested revision, that it is a reasonable use.
36	S. Wilkins stated that the reasoning given makes it sound more like a variance. The IIHO
37	requires the applicant to persuade the Board that there is public benefit created by this allowance.
38	1 If the state of
39	E. Hahn stated that there appears to be ample parking in the back of the units, but he believes
10	people will try to park in the front to be closer to the entrance, which will not be appealing
41	visually.

- S. Wilkins questioned if G. Peretz had any thoughts about keeping some of the units as rentals.
- He is not planning to rent any of the units. He stated the benefits to the town as being:
- 45 Preservation of a historic house
- Units that will be more affordable to the public

- Smaller units leading, more than likely, to a non-impact on the schools
- 48 Additional taxpayer money without school impact

C. Harris stated that the plans could be amended to put the parking on the north side of the property, thus making it closer to the entrance.

G. Peretz explained that only one (1) of the units, downstairs, could possibly be made to have handicap access, but it wouldn't work for any of the other units. He is not interested in labeling it as "affordable housing."

A. Rosenblatt stated that six (6) units is consistent with the ordinance and makes sense; however, seven (7) units is directly contrary to the ordinance and beyond the Board's authority. He agrees with granting bonuses if appropriate to do so, but not simply because the Board likes the project. He is agreeable to granting the one additional unit, but anything more than that is a ZBA issue.

P. Lyon moved to approve the project for 6 units and to incorporate the staff recommendations as conditions. R. Hart seconded.

All in favor.

## **NEW BUSINESS:**

2. CASE #: PZ9733-0403618 – John Dunn (Owner and Applicant) – 63 Spring Road, PIN #: 004-150-000 – Lot Line Adjustment to add Parcel "A" consisting of 1.56 acres to Map 4, Lot 148-3 from Map 4, Lot 150. Zoned Residential Rural.

The property (PIN #004-150-000) is located at 63 Spring Road in the Rural Residential district. The applicant seeks to adjust the lot line between Map 4, Lot 148-3 and Map 4, Lot 150 to include "Parcel A," and increasing the gross area of the lot to 6.48 acres.

 The owner and applicant, John Dunn, presented the case. He explained that if one of the lots is taken out of current use, then it will fall below the 10 acre requirement. However, if this "Parcel A" is added and he decides to build a house there, the lot will remain over 10 acres and the gentleman that does gardening on the lot, A. Pataky, can continue without J. Dunn triggering any potential current use penalties.

S. Wilkins asked if the driveway and utility easement is deeded. J. Dunn answered that they are.

S. Wilkins moved to accept this plan for review. M. Dell Orfano seconded. All in favor.

## Public comments:

- 1. Charlie Vars 17 Mack Hill Road stated that there is a small piece of land on Evergreen Lane that is still in his company's name, but which should have been given over to the subdivision. He has no issue with this project.
- 2. Andrew Caisse 61 Spring Road asked questions regarding what the plans will be for the land being transferred. He has no further questions about this project.
- 3. Amy Piuze 8 Evergreen Lane had no issue with this project.

C. Harris moved to approve the lot line adjustment as presented, with the condition of the three recommendations from G. Leedy. P. Lyon seconded.

All in favor.

3. CASE #: PZ9732-040318 – Arboleda Realty LLC (Applicant & Owner) – 345 NH Route 101, PIN #:008-057-000 & 008-058-001 – Discussion for a Non-Residential Site Plan application to depict the key facility expansion improvements as proposed on Lots 8-57 and 8-58-1 (to be merged). Zoned Residential Rural.

The property (PIN #008-057-000 & 008-058-001) is located at 345 NH Route 101 and is in the Residential Rural district. The applicant is discussing with the Board plans for the expansion of the LaBelle Winery facility. Lot 58-1 has been purchased and is intended to be added to Lot 57, once the application for Non-residential site plan is developed.

Ken Clinton, of Meridian, presented the conceptual review to the Board. The plan includes reconfiguring the main gravel parking lot, to create a dedicated access lane for the new facility. The new facility is currently in design. The footprint will be close to the existing facility. They would like to hold a public hearing on the 6<sup>th</sup> so that any and all abutter and public comments/questions can be voiced. A design review meeting will take place in June, and the

In response to a question from P. Lyon, K. Clinton explained that the new facility will include function space, a distillery, tasting room, and space for events.

An expanded permit from DOT will be requested and that will guide their future discussions involving any increases in traffic, turning issues, etc. The new facility will be sprinkled. The new area will have multiple holding ponds, but they will hopefully not be as apparent from the new facility.

They are not currently pursuing additional parking on the Bragdon property, but they have preplanned their designs for reconfiguration if expansion to this rea becomes available in the future.

**OTHER BUSINESS:** 

4. Minutes: April 18, 2018

- C. Harris moved to approve the minutes from April 18, 2018 as submitted. S Wilkins seconded.
- 129 All in favor, with R. Hart abstaining.

5. Planning Board Reorganization

final review application meeting will be held in July.

S. Wilkins moved to nominate M. Dell Orfano as the Chair of the Planning Board. P. Lyon seconded.

- A. Rosenblatt moved to nominate S. Wilkins as the Vice-Chair of the Planning Board. P.
- **Lyon seconded.**

138	A. Rosenblatt moved to nominate C. Harris as the Secretary of the Planning Board. P.
139	Lyon seconded.
140	All in favor of the slate.
141	
142	The Board discussed any upcoming warrant articles. They also discussed the Master Plan. They
143	discussed subcontracting out different pieces of the Master Plan to a variety of consultants. G.
144	Leedy updated the Board on the ACC's Plan and the Bike/Pedestrian Plan. He also explained
145	that the Historic District Commission is in good standing to possibly be awarded grant money in
146	order to complete a revised and updated inventory of the town's historic district.
147	
148	C. Harris moved to adjourn at 8:44 p.m. P. Lyon seconded.
149	All in favor.
150	
151	
152	
153	Respectfully submitted,
154	Kristan Patenaude