1	PLANNING BOARD
2	DRAFT - Minutes of April 2, 2014
3	ATTENDEES: Arnold Rosenblatt – Chairman, Sally Wilkins – Vice Chairman, Gordon Leedy, Michael Dell
4	Orfano, Cliff Harris, Richard Hart – Conservation Commission, John D'Angelo – Ex Officio, Marilyn
5	Peterman - Alternate, Sarah Marchant – Planning Director
6	Absent: Eric Hahn – Alternate, Allen Merriman - Alternate
7	NEVA/ DUICINIECC.
8	NEW BUSINESS:
9	Case #4845 - 030514 - Terry & Kelly Connor - Smith Lane, PIN #003-027-000, 003-028-001, 003-029-
10	000: Design Review of consolidation, subdivision, and site plan for Senior Community in the
11	Residential/Rural Zone.
12	Kyle Bouchard of Meridian Land Services, Inc. was representing Terry Connor, who was also present.
13	Kyle began by stating the board had seen the plan before and he was here to give an update, have a
14	design review, and answer questions before they move the project forward to submission. This project
15	is a consolidation, subdivision, and condo site plan with thirty (30) elderly housing units. The first part is the consolidation of the three (3) existing lots and their subdivision. One lot was previously owned by
16	the DOT, now owned by Mr. Connor as are the two (2) other lots. There is a parcel with some
17	
18	environmental constraints and the need for consolidation and subdivision. They are taking the three
19 20	(3) lots, consolidating them, and then re-subdividing them into two (2) lots. They would then return to subdivide into three (3) lots with a lot line adjustment. There will be a parcel in the north, one to the
21	southeast and a longer one to the west. It was not possible to update the revised drawing to show this,
22	at this time.
23	Gordon clarified there will be three (3) lots with a lot line adjustment: two (2) single building lots and
23 24	one for the development.
25	Kyle showed the entire property, including the proposed area for a senior development. There will be
26	thirty (30) units, three (3) roadways, a club house, and a mailbox building. There are plans for three (3)
27	duplex units at the moment. There will be stormwater basins to the south by the entrance road, and
28	they have proposed septic fields. Each unit will have its own septic tank but some units will have
29	shared drainage fields. The club house will house the water treatment and pumping station
30	equipment, and a test well will be dug next week. There will be a fence to close off access to the
31	former Smith Lane which will be for secondary emergency egress with a lock box and signage. The
32	other access to the development is off of Merrimack Road, and it will be a new access point. The road
33	profiles show there will be little fill and cut, and they generally hold the grade. The site is near a flood
34	plain, and the plan shows drainage details which are generic at this point as this is still being
35	developed. There are various styles of homes shown on the plan, but the duplexes will be of the same
36	style. There is a schematic of the club house and a conceptual floor plan included showing the location
37	of the water treatment equipment. The mail box building will look similar to the club house, and there
38	will also be signage on site.
39	Mike asked about the fire department memo.
40	Sarah replied it was mainly regarding E911.

- 39
- 40
- Mike asked if this development was subject to a different fire code. 41
- Sarah replied it is but not the traditional code; the applicable code is under a section involving planned 42
- unit development due to the closeness of the buildings to each other. The applicant has had several 43
- conversations with the fire department about this. 44
- Mike asked about a scenic setback. 45

- Sarah replied that doesn't have anything to do with a senior development, and Smith Lane is pre-
- 47 existing.
- 48 Kyle stated they have had discussions with Sarah regarding the best division of the land and whether
- an additional house lot can be near the existing house lot; this plan was the result.
- 50 Mike asked if that was why they wanted to subdivide into three (3) parcels.
- 51 Kyle replied they wanted to do a consolidation lot line adjustment, then a lot line adjustment for the
- 52 three (3) parcels into three (3) different parcels, for the convenience of development.
- 53 Cliff asked about the DPW concerns regarding right of way and a turning pocket.
- 54 Kyle stated they didn't have a discussion with DPW about it but were aware of it. They could
- accommodate it with an easement to allow turning lanes to be installed. They are working on a traffic
- assessment, and at this point it would be a 2.5% of the total traffic volume.
- 57 Cliff indicated if there are problems with the road, it would be best to do what DPW asks.
- Sally clarified DPW would like to create a turning lane but there isn't enough land, and DPW is asking
- 59 the planning board to require it.
- 60 Gordon noted they are operating at service level F.
- 61 Sally replied it would increase visual capacity and enable turns to the southwest.
- 62 Mike asked if the owner agrees to do this.
- 63 Kyle replied they still want to put numbers behind it but will agree to do it.
- 64 Sally stated this development will be attractive but wondered if they would contemplate different
- colors to aid in finding specific units as typical similar developments are all one color. A combination of
- different colors or porch details will make it easier to get around and will look better.
- 67 Kyle noted there were various options available regarding color and windows, etc., but he wasn't sure
- 68 to what extent.
- 69 Mike asked if people can choose the lot they want and along with the model they want with some
- 70 choices.
- 71 Kyle replied they would have some choices.
- 72 Marilyn asked if the buyers will own just the building or the building plus a small amount of land.
- 73 Kyle stated he had a limited understanding in this matter but thought the owners would own the units
- and the land would be owned in common; ultimately a plan will be developed that shows faux lot lines.
- 75 Marilyn stated the Fells was not designed that way; the owners own some land several feet beyond the
- 76 house for gardening, etc. She suggested they contemplate that.
- 77 Terry stated he would like that, if possible.
- 78 Marilyn suggested the pumping of the septic tanks be noted in the condo documents, as the elderly
- 79 owners may not be aware of such things.
- 80 Kyle indicated the documents could be set up that way; he was just not sure when they would be
- 81 processed.
- Marilyn stated these things work in the condo docs, and they should also note limited use of pesticides
- 83 and fertilizers.
- 84 Kyle replied there are environmental limitations.
- 85 Sarah stated the documents would be taken care of by her office.
- 86 Gordon stated this seemed to be a reasonable plan, but he did have a question regarding density;
- 87 there are thirty (30) units, each with .5 acre.
- 88 Sarah replied the ZBA granted the density through special exception.
- 89 Gordon noted this was a dense development, and the board will place high importance on how they
- treat the landscape. They should look closely at it and not submit a typical landscape plan; it should be
- 91 done by a licensed landscape architect.

- 92 Arnie asked what the goal was for tonight.
- 93 Sarah replied tonight is a design review of larger items before the applicant returns with a final site
- 94 plan.
- 95 Arnie asked about the suggestions the board was making.
- 96 Gordon noted they are non-binding recommendations.
- 97 Sarah stated this phase is required, but no decision by the board is necessary at this time.
- 98 Gordon stated other issues that might come up would be pedestrian connectivity are they
- attempting to create a community; if so, they need to facilitate ways to be mobile on the property. Are
- there other amenities like a community garden or some other means of getting the residents together?
- 101 Providing some private space for the units is a nice thing and something that buyers would look for. Is
- it a community water supply, and would they convey it to Pennichuck?
- 103 Kyle replied that would be written into the condo docs. He wasn't sure who would be maintaining the
- 104 water.
- 105 Terry replied the condo association would handle it.
- Gordon replied since this is a small development, Pennichuck might take it over. He asked how far
- 107 away the water supply was.
- 108 Sarah replied it was over a mile away.
- Gordon noted most management companies would be clueless about the water system, and he
- suggested they have a conversation with Pennichuck to see if they would take it on.
- 111 John had no comment.
- Rich noted Smith Lane had been abandoned and noted the fire department wanted access roads.
- 113 Would they be making any improvements on Smith Lane, and what about snow removal?
- 114 Kyle stated they will work with the fire department, but he thought the road would remain gravel but
- be maintained; snow removal would be part of the maintenance plan.
- 116 Arnie stated he agreed with all of the comments, and they will try to make this an imaginative and
- interesting project, something that will not be cookie cutter. He hoped the board will do better than
- 118 with previous projects.
- 119 Sally asked if the special exception stated the age restriction as 55 or 60.
- 120 Sarah replied it will be a 55+ community.
- 121 Mike asked if the developer expected to fund an association fund in case there is a problem with the
- 122 property.

126

- 123 Kyle indicated they would look into it.
- Marilyn asked about the size of the units.
- Terry replied they would be around 2000 square feet, including the garage.

127 **OTHER BUSINESS:**

- 128 Amendment and clarification Granite Hills Subdivision, PIN# 006-079-000 & 000, Walnut Hill Road –
- 129 NH Public Water Supply Wellhead Protection Area reference map correction for Granite Hills
- 130 Subdivision (approved in 2005)
- Hing Watt stated he was the current owner, and the subdivision was approved in 2005. The road was
- finished in 2009 and has been accepted by the town; no houses have been built. He noticed, after the
- 133 Water Supply Wellhead Protection Area was updated last year that if affected this property. In 2005,
- the Wellhead Protection area was shown on the plan to be 4000 feet and encroached on this property.
- 135 The protection area now is much smaller, so they have tried to make the correction and came up with
- an acceptable solution with Sarah. They will amend the plan by recording a document that removes
- any reference to the protection area on the plan.

- 138 Sally noted, with the smaller protection radius, it doesn't show up on this plan at all.
- Gordon clarified they are just removing the Wellhead Protection zone from this plan.
- Sarah noted the area is not correct; even in 2005 the protection radius was 1300 feet.
- 141 Rich and John had no comment.
- Gordon asked why they can't remove the line from the plan referencing this and amend the
- subdivision plan to show that and submit new plans.
- Sarah replied they can't, and that's why it took three (3) months to come up with a solution. The
- original surveyor is not to be found, and no one at the surveying firm is willing to stamp the amended
- plan. Attorney Drescher feels the one (1) page document is sufficient.
- Gordon clarified instead of a new set of plans, this document is recorded instead so there are no plans
- to vote on and no acceptance of plans for review.
- 149 Arnie, Marilyn, Sally, and Cliff had no comment.
- 150 Mike verified there would be no health or safety issues with this.
- 151 Arnie asked if any abutters or concerned citizens had any comments; there were none, so he asked if
- there was a motion.
- 153 Gordon made the motion to approve the proposed amendment and clarification and authorized the
- 154 chairman to sign the proposed amendment.
- 155 Cliff seconded the motion; all were in favor with none opposed.

157 **OLD BUSINESS:**

158 There was no old business.

160 **MINUTES**:

156

159

- 161 March 5, 2014
- 162 Sally made the following corrections:
- 163 Line 54: strike entire line
- Line 84-85: add "Sally pointed out they were talking about drugs in wastewater."
- Line 184: remove "with reduced frontage" and add "if they install a road".
- 166 John made the following correction:
- 167 Line 35: change "crush" to "crushed"
- 168 Cliff made the motion to accept the minutes as amended.
- John seconded the motion; all were in favor with none opposed and Gordon abstaining.
- Gordon noted, after hearing the amended corrections, that the planning board has no authorization to
- 171 regulate pharmaceuticals in urine.
- Sally agreed they did not and noted the question was whether the proposed system was able to filter
- them out.

175 **REGIONAL IMPACT:**

- Sarah noted there was nothing with regional impact at this time, but the deadline was Monday, April 7,
- 177 2014.

CIP NOMINATIONS:

- Sarah noted Eric and Sally had volunteered for the committee and there was still a need for an
- alternate. The committee meets the opposite Wednesdays as the planning board.
- 182 Rich volunteered to act as alternate.

183

174

178179

184 **ITRAC**:

Sarah noted if there were a large number of items on the May 7, 2014, agenda, ITRAC had a conflict

and would prefer to meet on April 30, 2014. The board agreed to meet on April 30, 2014, if necessary.

187

186

188

WORKFORCE HOUSING:

- Sarah noted the handout was a list of the priorities the board had decided upon with regard to the
- ordinance change discussions. She wanted to have the board look them over and decide if anything
- 191 was missed or shouldn't be on the list.
- Mike reiterated these items are things that need to be addressed when they rewrite the ordinance.
- 193 Gordon noted the suggested pricing of affordable housing is actually less affordable than what is
- 194 generally on the market. He felt the reason is it is a way for developers to get higher density and a
- 195 higher sale price.
- 196 Arnie noted he would like to see the revised ordinance before making any decisions about it. It is self-
- 197 evident that there has been no development in Amherst that hasn't been workforce housing. He felt
- this ordinance is exploited in order for the developers to make money. He noted Mike had made a
- worthy effort with the first draft that was presented. His second issue is while looking at housing
- diversity, there is more now than before. His third issue was he never liked ongoing restrictions, and
- 201 he opposes government imposing a restriction on income.
- 202 Gordon stated if they are going to grant this type of development, they have to do the research in
- order to provide assurances that the units will remain affordable; they have not remained so in many
- 204 cases.
- Sally noted they had worked on a document in 2005 to send to realtors because this was an existing
- 206 problem.
- 207 Mike noted they have to have a process in place to make these changes, and the list of items to discuss
- is merely a step in the process. He noted if retention is a problem, it should be discussed along with
- 209 diversity and what the planning board can request.
- 210 Sally noted to Arnie that this was not ordinance language yet.
- 211 Mike stated they are identifying priorities to include in the final ordinance language.
- 212 Rich thought including mixed use would help with the affordability; it remains cheaper than stand-
- 213 alone residences.

214215

STRATEGIC GOALS:

- John asked Sarah about the strategic goals that were requested by the BOS.
- Sarah replied the planning board had gone over them, and she hadn't had a change to submit the
- 218 revised document.

219 220

ORDINANCE REVISIONS:

- John noted it was time to rewrite the rural recreation section of the ordinance as there were several
- 222 petition articles on the ballot this past voting period.
- 223 Sally thought it would be too much to tackle this year with the workforce housing ordinance.
- Sarah noted they already have the ITRAC grant and the workforce housing ordinance on the schedule
- for this year; they could start the process later on.
- John felt they should have a rational structure and definition in place; and if they can't get to it this
- year, they need to in 2015.
- 228 Cliff suggested they come up with a list of things to discuss.
- 229 Sarah felt it would be best to talk to the community about possible changes.

- 230
- 231 Arnie asked if there was a motion to adjourn.
- 232 Cliff made the motion with Gordon seconding; all were in favor.
- 233 Meeting was adjourned at 8:45 pm.

