| 1<br>2         | Wednesday, May 7, 2015, 7:00PM   |
|----------------|--|
| 3              | ,, carros and , , , = 0 = 0, 100 0 = 1.2   |
| 4              | ATTENDEES: Colin Lonsdale, Jon Vore, David Beach, Joe McCool, Gina Frey, Paul Indeglia   |
| 5              | (ACC), Reed Panasiti – Selectman, John D'Angelo – Selectman, and two members of the  |
| 6              | public. Connecting by GoToMeeting were Amanda Jensen and Eric Hahn (NRPC Liaison)  |
| 7              | I. D'Amarla walaamad tha attandaga   |
| 8<br>9         | J. D'Angelo welcomed the attendees.  |
| 9<br>10        | The main topic of discussion was the draft second letter from the Selectmen to FERC and KM.  |
| 11             | All liked the draft; all agreed it was not ready to be submitted. Concerns were expressed that 1)  |
| 12             | sections of it were quite accusatory, 2) the tone of it was more adversarial than it needed to be, 3)  |
| 13             | that sending it in too soon might reduce KM's motivation to select an alternative route through  |
| 14             | Amherst, since Amherst might then be perceived as hostile.   |
| 15             |  |
| 16             | Amanda said she would incorporate the feedback received to-date and send out another draft.  |
| 17             | John said he would work on the tone of the document and add a less confrontational closing   |
| 18             | paragraph, then send it out for PTF review.  |
| 19<br>20       | The PTF discussed three more documents that would be needed: 1) a Working Paper on NH  |
| 21             | energy production and consumption (Amanda to produce a draft), 2) a Working Paper/Letter   |
| 22             | from the Selectmen raising questions on the need for and appropriateness of the NED pipeline in  |
| 23             | its entirety (Colin to produce a draft), and 3) a "terms and conditions" draft Letter from the   |
| 24             | Selectmen spelling out Amherst's preferences during pipeline construction. The last document   |
| 25             | would not be needed until construction was much closer, and should include all of Amherst's  |
| 26             | requirements, e.g.:  |
| 27             | <ul> <li>Acceptable hours (and days) for construction operations</li> </ul>  |
| 28             | • Safety related items (TBD) – Joe McCool has a preliminary document on this topic   |
| 29             | ACC environmental remediations as exemplified in the ACC Preliminary Environmental   |
| 30             | Impact Assessment  |
| 31<br>32       | • Some of the mitigations identified by the Milford PTF, e.g. concrete reinforcement when the pipeline passes under roadways. etc.                   |
| 33             | <ul> <li>HCA pipe thickness wherever possible,</li> </ul>  |
| 34             | <ul> <li>Bury pipe six feet, wherever possible,</li> </ul>   |
| 3 <del>4</del> | <ul> <li>Burly pipe six rect, wherever possible,</li> <li>Bonds to remediate damage to roads in Amherst, Performance Bond(s) for remedial</li> </ul> |
| 36             | landscaping, redrilling water wells, and other items KM has not always been diligent   |
| 37             | about completing in a timely way, etc.   |
| 38             |  |
| 39             | Agreement was reached that the PTF should continue to develop the draft second letter from the   |
| 40             | Selectmen, and once completed, hold it until KM responds to the concerns raised in our April 28  |
| 41             | meeting. Other documents are to be developed as time permits.  |
| 42             |  |

The meeting ended at approximately 8:30pm.

The next PTF meeting date was set for May 28, 2015 at 7:00 PM at Town Hall.