

Town of Amherst Historic District Commission March 4, 2014, Special Meeting Minutes

The Historic District Commission met for a special meeting in the Barbara Landry Meeting Room of the Amherst Town Hall at approximately 7:00 p.m. Members present: Chairman Jamie Ramsay, Secretary Susan Clark, Selectmen's Representative Tom Grella, Planning Board Representative Sally Wilkins, Alternate Chris Hall, and Alternate Helen Rowe.

Members absent: Vice Chair Tracy Veillette, Alternate Katy Kennedy, Alternate Larry McCoy, Member Doug Chabinsky, Member Bruce Fraser, and Alternate Jeanne Rosenblatt.

Alternate Helen Rowe voted for Member Doug Chabinsky, and Alternate Chris Hall voted for Member Tracy Veillette.

Public Hearing

1. Discussion - Manchester Road Bridge - Sean James, P.E., Hoyle, Tanner Associates, Inc.

 Sean James showed a 20 slide PowerPoint presentation. An abutter to the bridge was in the audience and said that this was the 445th day the bridge has been closed.

The current bridge was built in 1980. It is not in the Registry of the Amherst Historic District, nor is it eligible for the National Registry. The NH Division of Historical Resources will have Section 106 Review of the project. Funding is through the NHDOT Municipal Bond Program, wherein the State pays 80%. There will be a study, design, final design, bid phase, and pre-construction meeting. There will also be a Cultural Resources Committee meeting with the NHDOT. Hoyle, Tanner Associates and Director Bruce Berry will present the project to the Cultural Resources Committee.

The current design of the bridge is a 33' long three-span bridge, and the new proposal is for a 40' long one-span bridge in order to maximize hydraulics. HTA presented to the Amherst Board of Selectmen; and the hope tonight is that the HDC will provide input for the bridge design.

There will be improvements made to Manchester and Mack Hill Roads with a modification to the intersection. The existing grade will be maintained. A new sidewalk is proposed with an additional guard rail. During construction, there will be one lane of traffic open.

All of the current bridge structure will get removed. The new bridge will meet the legal load limits, and it needs to be 24' wide. The one span bridge will address flooding concerns and hydraulics. HTA did not push the use of wood because they wanted to maximize the opening, and wood requires more space. The proposed is for pre-stamped concrete beams, with a sidewalk on the down-stream side. The beams will be 18" by 8" on top. The concrete will be 5" thick then a membrane for protection, then payement of 3". The lifespan of the new bridge will be approximiately75 years.

HTA has more confidence with concrete. The pre-stamped concrete has steel through it, but the steel puts the concrete always in compression which will help with cracks. There is an ashlar finish that can be performed which will give a stone-like façade.

The members asked if the stone from the old bridge could be re-used in the new bridge. Some of the stones may have come from the old Jail House. Identifying those stones is difficult, and not all of them are currently visible.

The NHDHR has cautioned towns to not create a false sense of history, and it would be very difficult for HTA to incorporate these stones into the bridge. Sean James recommended salvaging the stone to the Town or to use the stone as a retaining wall with steps, or maybe incorporate them into the wingwalls. The stones could also be used on Town-owned land, or made into benches or a plaque. The stones vary in width and would be difficult to incorporate as part of a veneer. NHDOT has experienced a lot of problems with that design. They don't use veneers any more.

There will be no culverts with the bridge. The current metal pipes will be removed. There will be two abutments, and they will go between the beams across as well. The one-span opening provides more area for the water to flow through. There was some concern expressed that this might mean that too much water will pass through. In looking at the water flow, HTA looked at that concern. The proposed opening does let more water in, but the span lowers the level upstream as well; and downstream the level rises one-third of a foot. The difference is upstream; a three-span bridge would not lower the flood levels. The one-span will mean less flooding, and debris will be allowed to come down stream with less tie-ups. If the design were to impact the neighbors, the Town would need to obtain an easement. It is possible there could be flooding with a 4" rising with a 50-year flood.

The HDC felt that although the bridge is not listed on its registry, it certainly contributes to the District; and the bridge should look nice.

The wingwalls will be pre-cast concrete made to look like stones. The beams need to be thick enough. The wingwalls support the ends of the bridge.

A bill has been passed in the House of Representatives that would allow the Town to move forward with bonding this project now in anticipation of receiving the \$2.1 Million dollars in 2018 from the State for the three bridges. The bill now goes before the Senate Committee. The bill becomes law 60 days after it is signed by the Governor. Then the Town can move forward with the bond that was voted for in 2011. HTA would like to present plans to the NHDOT for a bridge approval. Then we can get the work done. We need that final approval from them. As a Town, we would be responsible for the bond payment and interest. In making this decision, the Town needs to balance what it will cost should we decide to wait until the funds become available in 2018. In 2010, the estimated cost was \$600,000, and in 2018, it is estimated to cost \$1.1 million. HTA has a \$1 million estimate. This is a good program and has been around since 1993. The State will pay for aesthetics improvement as well, depending on how much we ask to do. If the Town asked for a covered bridge, which would cost more money; the State would only pay so much. The other two bridges are the Horace Greeley Bridge and the bridge on New Boston Road.

Normally bridges get inspected every other year, but red-listed bridges get inspected every year.

It was finally determined that the height of the railings of the sidewalk need to be 42" high. The railings need to pass a crash test. Wood does not meet that requirement.

It was asked if stone could be made into a shelf on the curbing on both sides of the bridge. Granite is resilient. That seemed logical. The planks could be pre-cast stone-like, and the color of the concrete could be made darker. In 1980 there were not enough engineering standards in place. Now hydraulic

data is available. There is a dam in the area. Metal culvers do not last.

This bridge goes into a "T" intersection. It was suggested that Mack Hill Road could be made to appear narrower in an effort to slow traffic down.

The railings can be painted, which will become a maintenance issue down the road. It was felt the railings should be the same height on both sides of the bridge

The bridge cannot be a single arch bridge. With a one-arch bridge, the crown will be 14" deep, and the corners will block the water flow, such that a 50' flood would be right up to the rise and over the capacity of the bridge. We need to meet the requirements. As part of the process, NHDOT looks at the 50 year flood plan and sets the standard for how much clearance is appropriate. We will need a wetlands permit from NHDES as they determine the width of the bridge. FEMA looks at the floodplain map.

Regarding railings, the HDC would like something that will pass the crash test but will also blend in nicely, and not jump out at you.

The HDC came in agreement with the following:

- 1. Granite curbing on both sides of the bridge.
- 2. Granite fascia on the downstream side of the bridge.
- 3. Wood on the beams.
- 4. The railings will be 42" and painted, but in a matte finish, and not white. The color will be determined later.
- 5. The concrete wingwalls will look like stone blocks formed with granite caps.

The Engineers are looking to get the bids ready for bid as soon as possible. The project will take about five months. They will need to take the old bridge out and deal with the water.

The HDC thanked Sean James for his presentation and told him that whenever he is ready to come back to them, the HDC will accommodate his schedule.

2. Discussion –2014 Road Construction Plan for Foundry Street, Cross Street, Church Street, and Boston Post Road; and tree removal in the Historic District – Bruce Berry, Director of Public Works.

The RFP is out for this project. Director Bruce Berry will hold a pre-construction meeting on Friday. Bids are due in on March 18th. There will be some trees which will need to be significantly trimmed, but they will make every effort to not damage the trees.

The numbers in the middle of the road mark some of the calculations for the project. They calculated for culvert pipes, catch basins, manholes, and new water mains. If the roads get re-painted, they will repaint crosswalks. They are currently in discussions regarding moving sidewalks around Moulton's Store and changing the route of the 4th of July parade.

They will continue using the bumpy brick in appropriate places.

There will be road closures and detours. There will be temporary traffic lights set up at the intersection

160

161 162

163

Community Development Secretary

Minutes approved as amended on March 30, 2014.