1	
2	STATUTE AND A
3	
4	
5	
6	PAORATED
_	
7	
8	Town of Amherst, NH
9	Historic District Commission
10	FINAL MINUTES
11	
12	Barbara Landry Conference Room
13	Thursdon, 17 August 2017, 7-00 DM
14 15	Thursday, 17 August 2017, 7:00 PM
15 16	Historic District Commission members in attendance were: Jamie Ramsay, Chairman;
17	Chris Hall, Vice-Chairman; Doug Chabinsky, Chris Buchanan, Tom Grella, BOS
18	<i>Ex-Officio; and Sally Wilkins, Planning Board Ex-Officio.</i>
19	Staff in attendance included: Simon Corson, Planner.
20	Stay in anendance mended. Simon Corson, I lanner.
21	I. Call to Order
22	Chairman Jamie Ramsay called the meeting to order at 6:55 PM.
23	
24	II. Minutes: July 20, 2017; August 3, 2017 work session
25	A MOTION was made by Mr. Doug Chabinsky and SECONDED by Mr. Chris Buchanan to
26	accept the July 20, 2017 minutes as submitted.
27	Voting: 5 ayes, 1 abstention. Motion carried.
28	
29	A MOTION was made by Mr. Chris Hall and SECONDED by Ms. Sally Wilkins to accept
30	the August 3, 2017 work session minutes as submitted.
31	Voting: 4 ayes, 2 abstentions. Motion carried.
32	III CASE # D79941 071117 Elizabeth Henley 125 Ambergt Street #17 DIN # 005
33 34	III. CASE #: PZ8841-071117 – Elizabeth Hanlon, 135 Amherst Street #17, PIN #: 005- 018-017 – Request for approval to replace (1) patio door and (5) two-panel slider window
34 35	units.
36	units.
37	Present: Elizabeth Hanlon, property owner
38	······································
39	Mr. Ramsay explained that this unit is part of the Country Mansion condos.
40	Ms. Elizabeth Hanlon explained that her unit was built in 1980. She would like to replace
41	what's currently there with better quality, more energy efficient items. The windows have
42	some rot and only 2 of them currently function.
43	
44	In response to a question from Mr. Hall, Ms. Hanlon stated that she will be keeping the
45	style of the windows and door the same. The current windows are aluminum.

46		FINDINGS:
47		1. House is not a Contributing Property
48		2. Style of the proposed replacements is in kind and will have no impact on the existing
49		look
50		3. Unit is not visible from the public way
51		
52		A MOTION was made by Mr. Hall and SECONDED by Mr. Chabinsky to accept the
53		application as submitted.
54		Voting: all aye. No abstentions or objections. Motion carried.
55		
56		Mr. Ramsay explained to the applicant how the 20-day appeal process works, in case the
57		Historic Commission's decision was contested by an abutter or other party of interest.
58		
59	IV.	CASE #: PZ8893-072617 – Property Owners, 99 Boston Post Road, PIN #:017-042-
60		<b>000</b> – Request for approval to replace the garage door with a metal door.
61		
62		Present: property owner
63		
64		The property owner explained that the current garage door is in pieces in their driveway.
65		Their intention for the home is to keep it as is and restore items if necessary. However, the
66		garage door shouldn't be considered "historic," as it was installed in the 1970's. Lizzie's
67		Garage Doors came to fix the current door but it then fell off entirely. He would like to
68 60		replace the door with a metal one with wood grain and paneling. He believes this to be "in
69 70		kind" to the house and in comparison with other homes in the neighborhood.
70 71		In response to a question from Mr. Ramsay, the property owner explained that Lizzie's
71		Garage Doors put the glass panels on the door in the 4 <sup>th</sup> position, as to match the old doors
72		on the garage.
73 74		on the galage.
75		Mr. Hall stated that the Commission's regulations are unclear on the issue of garage doors.
76		In response to a question from Mr. Hall, the property owner explained that his choice for
77		use of synthetic materials comes from a concern for durability and safety. He wishes to
78		keep the historic nature of the home intact but doesn't believe that this sort of a
79		replacement for the garage door will detract from the history of the home.
80		
81		Ms. Wilkins suggested amending the language of the application to make clear that the
82		synthetic material will have a wood-grain finish.
83		,
84		Mr. Hall stated that he would like to know the weight difference between a synthetic
85		garage door versus a wooden door.
86		
87		Mr. Buchanan stated that the regulations should be addressed and amended to include a
88		decision about the specifications for garage doors. Mr. Chabinsky agreed.
89		
90		Mr. Brad Engel, abutter at 4 Foundry St, stated that the current door was not attractive and
91		the proposed door will be an improvement regardless of its other merits.
92		-

93		FINDINGS:
94		1. House is on the National Registry, Lot #42
95		2. House is a Contributing Property
96		3. Proposed construction is highly visible
97		4. Proposed construction is not a replacement in kind, but does address safety issues
98		
99		A MOTION was made by Ms. Wilkins and SECONDED by Mr. Hall to accept the
100		application as submitted.
101		
102		Mr. Chabinsky made an AMENDMENT to the MOTION stating that the application be
103		amended to include wording about the wood-grain composite material, and specify the $4^{th}$
104		panel window elevation.
105		Mr. Grella made an AMENDMENT to the MOTION stating that the weight factor
106		difference between the composite material garage door and a wooden garage door also be
107		documented.
108		
109		Ms. Wilkins accepted the amendments to her original MOTION.
110		Voting: all aye. No abstentions or objections. Motion carried unanimously.
111		
112		Mr. Ramsay explained to the applicant how the 20-day appeal process works, in case the
113		Historic Commission's decision was contested by an abutter or other party of interest.
114		
115	V.	CASE #: PZ8898-072717 – David Henry, 33 Middle Street, PIN #: 016-009-000 –
116		Request for approval to construct a 12'x16' addition to the rear of the home to be used as
117		an office/den.
118		
119		Present: David Henry – property owner; Keith Allen – builder on project
120		Mr. Hanny analogical that the addition to the man of the home is needed for his consulting
121		Mr. Henry explained that the addition to the rear of the home is needed for his consulting business. He wants to keep the addition in the spirit of the home, including keeping the
122 123		current siding, windows and trim. There will be French doors leading out to the back.
123		current studing, windows and time. There will be French doors leading out to the back.
124		Mr. Ramsay and Ms. Wilkins agreed that the addition will be an attractive improvement.
125		wir. Ramsay and wis. wirkins agreed that the addition will be an attractive improvement.
120		Mr. Hall stated that the construction can barely be seen by abutters due to foliage, except
127		maybe seasonally. The property already has vinyl windows and doors.
129		maybe seasonary. The property aready has vinyr whileows and doors.
130		FINDINGS:
131		1. House is on the National Registry, Lot #130
132		2. House is not a Contributing Property
133		3. Proposed construction will keep with the style of the home, including matching
134		materials
135		4. Visibility of planned structure is limited to neighbors, and cannot be seen from the
136		public access
137		
138		A MOTION was made by Mr. Chabinsky and SECONDED by Mr. Hall to accept the
139		application as submitted.

140 141		Voting: all aye. No abstentions or objections. Motion carried unanimously.
141		Mr. Ramsay explained to the applicant how the 20-day appeal process works, in case the
142		Historic Commission's decision was contested by an abutter or other party of interest.
145 144		Thistoric Commission's decision was contested by an abutter of other party of interest.
144 145	VI.	5 School Street – Christina Ferrari – Informal Discussion – Fence & Window
146		Restoration
147		
148		Ms. Ferrari explained that they purchased the property in 2013 and expected to start with
149		routine maintenance. Every window in the house needs restoration. They want to keep the
150		original glass, but replace the rotted wood. The pulley systems are ok for most of the
151		windows, but some will need to be rehabilitated.
152		Their first project will be the front porch replacement, due to rot and mold. They will
152		match to the original materials.
155		match to the original matchais.
154		Mr. Chabinsky explained that maintenance and replacements in kind don't need to come
155		before the Commission but the discussion is incredibly appreciated.
150		before the Commission but the discussion is increationy appreciated.
		Ma Formari stated that they will also need to replace the fance at some point in the future
158		Ms. Ferrari stated that they will also need to replace the fence at some point in the future.
159		Ma Willing suggested submitting one employed on the Commission with all of the
160		Ms. Wilkins suggested submitting one application to the Commission with all of the
161		planned projects, just for documentation and information purposes.
162	<b>X711</b>	Nomination of Socratary
163	V 11.	Nomination of Secretary
164		A MOTION was made by Mr. Hall and SECONDED by Mr. Chabinsky to name Mr. Chris Bush an an as the name Secondary to the Historic District Commission
165		Buchanan as the new Secretary to the Historic District Commission.
166		Voting: 5 ayes, 1 abstention. Motion carried.
167		
168		
169		The Commission discussed what will be on the agenda of the next work session.
170		
171	VIII.	Adjournment
172		A MOTION was made by Mr. Buchanan and SECONDED by Ms. Wilkins to adjourn the
173		meeting at 8:17pm.
174		Voting: all aye; motion carried unanimously.
175		
176		
177		
178		
179		
180		
181		
182		
183	-	ectfully submitted,
184	Krist	tan Patenaude