

Town of Amherst, NH

Highway Safety Committee Thursday, January 25, 2023

Attendees:	Chief Ciampo	oli, DPW	Director Eric	Slosek, F	Fire Chief Mat	t Conley

- 2 Selectman John D'Angelo; Superintendent Mike Berry
- 3 Residents present: Jeanne Ludt, Rand Peck, Doug Chabinsky, Kevin Grassett, Alex Rodd, and

4 Mike Riccatelli.

1. Call to Order

2. Citizen's Forum

3. **Boston Post Rd.** This meeting is a follow up to the January 4, 2024 meeting. They had a good discussion about some possible ordinances that the Town was considering. NRPC had given them a traffic count for commercial vehicles, but what they didn't have was speed. They returned to NRPC and were able to obtain that data. This information will be part of their discussion before a decision is made. Also, at a Board of Selectman meeting about a month and a half ago there were people who spoke out in opposition of it. They thought it would be good to have a trial run on what they might encounter if this goes to a public hearing. They are looking for some feedback.

Eric Slosek feels that they should be looking at two things; first, a "yes" or "no" do they support an ordinance of "No Through Trucking" and second; if you do support an ordinance, what would that look like.

On Boston Post Rd. The study was taken after the speed feedback sign was installed. Essentially the section that was analyzed is the 25mph section. The study showed a reduction in the 50 percentile speed and the 85 percentile speed. The average speed of commercial vehicles traveling through this section is 32 but the 85 percentile speed is 38mph or below. They normally use the 85-percentile speed to set the speed limit, this meaning that the speed limit for this area should be 30mph. Chief Caimpoli is disappointed with this finding. In 2022 the average speed was 30 and the 85 percentile was 34. Doug Chabinsky states a speed limit 30mph that might be true through a less populated road. This road is going through the center of a village, with 2 schools and a lot of pedestrians. The Board of Selectman way back when decided 25mph was an appropriate speed because of this. We've got a problem, not so much that the speed limit should be higher but we've got a lot of people going over what the selectman felt was an

appropriate speed for the village, and that's what needs to be addressed. Chief states that is true, but factually based data is what is utilized by the State of NH and almost any person that is involved in traffic studies to set the speed limit. The 85-percentile speed is what they would use. He's not suggesting that they move the speed limit anywhere, he's saying that it's tough when the data suggests that the essential pace speed of the road is basically saying that the design of the road is that vehicles are moving faster. It's evident with the data, he would agree that the schools being in the area add to the argument but if they were going purely on the facts and feedback from a study, this is what they would use. Doug states that this tells him that a lot of people just don't care about what's posted. And if that is going to be the case then based on the village streets committee, they would have to change how Boston Post Rd. is configured in order for it not to support people wanting to go that fast. Chief states that those are good points to bring up to the Village Streets Committee.

Chief Ciampoli reviewed past discussion regarding what the "No Through Trucks" options are. Currently they do not have any safety data. What they have is quality of life issues and will that translate into a recommendation from the HSC based on that alone or if it needs more? The Board of Selectman has looked to them for a recommendation. From the last meeting, noise pollution is definitely something they are concerned about, this is a quality of life issue, not safety. Quality of Life translates into a perception of safety. They do have a path to reduce that and he feels it is a reasonable path.

Doug Chabinsky asked for some clarification. When they were talking about "No

 Through Trucking" it was going to be based on vehicle weight. Chief Ciampoli explained that Selectman D'Angelo recommended that they take a look at the weight, minimum of 40000 lbs. This would be the large tri-axels. If this caused a hardship because of the restriction they could go before the Board of Selectman, explain the hardship and they would have the ability to grant a waiver. The same applies to the engine braking, there would be a stipulation in any ordinance that emergency braking would not apply. John D'Angelo states that they do have a dilemma in that if they were setting the speed limit based on what you see for the traffic it would be higher than the 25mph and the road appears to be safe at higher speed since there has not been a speed related incident according to the records that we have. In regards to the "no air braking" there is no obvious reason why someone needs to use their air brake on the particular stretch of Boston Post Rd. We can give them an out in case of emergency. The "No Through Trucking" is a little more complex. Matt Conley states that in terms of the engine braking, this feature can be turned on or off. It is not typical that the drivers are shutting them off, but they will if they have to. Kevin Grasset explained that the engine braking prolongs the life

\$1,000.00 each and he tries to make them last as long as possible.

Kevin Grasset suggests that the bus count be removed from the traffic count as this is traffic that has to happen. Kevin feels that this is discrimination, as a trucker he pays an exorbitant amount in taxes to use the roads. Rand Peck states that he is looking at it as you are a taxpayer and I am a taxpayer, you have as much right to use the roads as I do. He is just asking that trucks do not go by his house at 45 mph. It is out of town trucks that are speeding by his house not local trucks. Kevin is not arguing and he doesn't disagree. Maybe the speed limit should be 25 through that area. As a compromise put a no Jake Brake ordinance in effect. But he'll warn you that you may be trading one noise for another. Chief Ciampoli explains that in the time everyone has sat here today, they could have applied for a waiver. There is a built in argument for hardship because all of their travel is within town. Matt Conley states that it is the speed and the noise.

Chief Caimpolis' recommendation as chair is that he feels that the engine braking issue is something that is reasonable and easy for him to produce a report with the recommendation to the board. He hopes that others on the committee agree. Specifically, that the signs will be in place from Mont Vernon Rd. and Boston Post Rd. through the village to Amherst Gas on Rte 122, New Boston and through the village to be included in that. He would not support a blanket ordinance for no through trucking, this has the potential of being completely unenforceable. Theoretically he could never put the resources where they need to be to effectively enforce it. The time restriction ordinance would have more effect, because he can enforce it.

Matt Conley would be good with the Jake Brake ordinance. In reference to the time frame he is ok with it but his question is: If we are addressing the safety issue with the kids and the kids are in school by 8am but they are out of school at 3 or so are we doing an injustice by not capturing that piece of time? Chief Caimpoli states that that is the compromise. As long as there is an option for a waiver Chief Conley would be ok with that as well.

Eric Slosek comments from a safety perspective he cannot support a "No Through Trucking" ordinance. His first point is that there is no accident history to support that there is a problem with safety. He has concerns about the vehicles speeding, looking at other data from NHDOT. They set and determine safe speed limits based on the 85-percentile speed, that means that this is appropriate speed for traffic there. He has heard a few comments that there are other things to consider, things to determining speed, and that is where political decisions can be made by the board of selectmen. But from a traffic safety point, if the NHDOT were looking at this, they would say that the appropriate speed

was probably 35 to 40 mph. And the Town reducing the speed to 25 mph might not have been an appropriate decision. Beyond that there is the safety of school kids. From a safety perspective there have not been any incidents with kids traveling to and from school. There is the presence of the 20 mph flashing lights through the segment of road that they are talking about, enforced by police during those commuting times, that traffic is observing those flashing lights. He's also concerned that with no data to back up a no through trucking ordinance that we may be setting a precedent for other ordinance to be put in place without data to support them. Regarding an ordinance for the nighttime hours, he has been around town in the nighttime and did not notice that there is too much of an issue during the nighttime hours. He is afraid that if they create an ordinance for a problem that they do not have supporting data for they will be setting a precedence for other ordinances without traffic data to back them up. He thinks that they could move the speed feedback sign further outside the village to the north on Boston Post Rd. Maybe that would slow people down before they get into the village.

In term of the no engine braking ordinance, he has some mixed feelings. It is a safety feature in trucks he has mixed feelings about supporting it. He's not sure that sound is a function of safety. He could support the no engine brake ordinance through the section on Boston Post that they are talking about.

John D'Angelo stated that in regards to the Jake Brake, (engine brake), he can support it as a selectman as it is a quality of life issue and the Board of Selectman handle quality of Life issues. As a member of the Highway Safety Committee, he cannot support it as it is not a safety issue. This should be presented to the Selectman as a quality-of-life issue, at which time he would support it. As for the no through trucking with time limits, he is not convinced that there is a real problem there. If they create a "No Through Trucking" ordinance it would simply be relocating the traffic to a different neighborhood on some other road. Boston Post Rd. is obviously designed to handle 35 to 40 mph traffic safely. Reducing the speed limit to 25mph had an initial effect but that apparently wore off. He is perfectly willing to try moving signs and try other things to get people to slow down sooner. He isn't sure if a "No Through Trucking" ordinance addresses the real problem which is people going faster than we want them to go on the section of road whether in trucks or in cars.

Chief Ciampoli states that when we talk about precedence, if the argument is tailored toward school safety, we don't have any other neighborhoods aside from Boston Post at the high school. The layout is a little bit different and he doesn't think they are seeing the same complaints. Though we lack the facts of safety concerns, from a precedence standpoint we actually have a fall back, we are looking at it from "this" safety perspective.

Mike Berry states that in reviewing some of the materials prior to this, when he talks to people who have been long time educators, this doesn't seem to be a concern. It is the

volume of vehicles in the morning that seems to be the concern. When he arrived at the beginning of the year he was impressed with the presence of police, there are already safety precautions in place. We are here to give the Selectman a recommendation and having a selectman here to let us know what our lane is and his perspective, that makes sense to him. The compromise of the engine brake, if that's what the group can get in, he's ok with that. At the end of the day whatever they put in; it has to be enforceable. He does like to other solutions, putting the signs in a different spot.

Doug Chabinsky has a few things that he would like to make clear. This is a safety committee and a safety committee that says "Let's go put some safety measures in after the fact of something that is brought it up, is not a safety committee. You should be looking at what's going on addressing it. The volume of traffic in the past 18 years has gone up greatly, since the pandemic the volume of the trucks has gone up more, since the pandemic everyone seems to be driving faster. So, there is a problem. The issue really is speed, he has been trying to address this for over a dozen years. Time of day is important, in the morning he sees 6 to 8 Granite State 18 wheelers, in a 30-minute period, going North through the village to get sand or gravel before 6am. Controlling the time would help because they are still traveling when school has opened. He is asking the committee to make the village safe for people to walk in.

Kevin asks of the residents there, Doug and Rand, since the speed limit sign has gone in do you noticed traffic slowing in front of their houses? They both answer no. He wonders if that is creating more noise because the sign is alerting them, and they slow down. Are you able to put one of the moveable ones (signs) back farther and put a counter in? He knows it would be difficult in the winter with the plowing and the weather. Maybe a counter ahead of it will let you know if the sign is slowing traffic down before they get to the school. They could determine the times and see where the peak times are, both for the cars, the trucks, and the speeds. See what the speeds are during school hours and what the percentages are. It's his understanding the speed is the problem, and the secondary issue is the trucks. The trucks are less than 11% of the traffic going through there and he would like to see what that is during the hour. And he would like to see the school bus factored out of there. Based on that, then we shouldn't be talking about a "No Though Truck" here.

Eric Slosek asks if the Highway Safety Committee should recommend a "No Through Trucking" ordinance?

201 Chief Ciampoli makes a motion to propose an ordinance, time restricted, limiting traffic 202 from 8pm to 8am on both New Boston and Boston Post Rd. through the village to Route 203 122.

Seconded by John D'Angelo. 1 yea, 4 nay. Motion did not pass.

Chief Ciampoli moves to enact an ordinance prohibiting engine braking on New Boston Rd. and Boston Post Rd. from Mont Vernon Rd and Old Mont Vernon Rd to Route 122 at the intersection of Amherst St. John D'Angelo seconds. 5 yea – 0 nay. Motion passed

210	Eric Slosek makes a motion that the Highway Safety Committee recommend to the
211	Board of Selectman that the Committee be tasked with looking at ways to reduce speed
212	on Boston Post Rd. in the village. Seconded by Mike Berry. 5 yea- 0 nay Motion passed
213	
214	Chief Ciampoli will draft an ordinance for a "No Through Trucking" for the next meeting.
215	
216	Eric Slosek makes a motion to adjourn at 2:40pm seconded by Mike Berry. All in favor.