

Meeting Minutes - APPROVED February 14, 2023

1	Meeting attendees: Chris Buchanan (remote), Wendy Rannenberg, Judy Shenk, Chris Shenk,
2	Michael Merra, Rick Katzenberg, Frank Montesanto – representative from the Amherst
3	Conservation Commission, George Bower (remote), and Tom Christensen.
4	Staff present: Eric Slosek – DPW Director (remote), Kristan Patenaude – Recording Secretary
5	(remote)
6	
7	Chris Buchanan called the meeting to order at 7:00pm.
8	
9	6. January 10, 2023 minutes – The Committee took up this item at this time.
10	
11	Wendy Rannenberg moved to approve the meeting minutes of January 10, 2023, as
12	presented. Seconded by Michael Merra.
13	Vote: 6-0-0, motion carried.
14	
15	1. Warrant Article 30: AMS/SHS School Campus Project
16	a. Warrant Article 30
17	i. Discussion about warrant article communications
18	ii. Saturday before voting March 11 transfer station staffing
19	iii. Voting day March 14 booth staffing
20	
21	The group discussed the existing signs that can be used for this Warrant Article. Chris
22	Buchanan explained that this will be the third attempt for the School Campus project warrant
23	article. The article this year is worded regarding a Capital Reserve Fund and not about the
24 25	Middle School/High School.
25	Tom Christenson stated that the outicle itself is your years. Wandy Donnanhous noted that one
26 27	Tom Christensen stated that the article itself is very vague. Wendy Rannenberg noted that one person at Deliberative Session asked where this was to be located and Selectman Bill
27	Stoughton did a great job describing the details. She noted that the group should get the word
20 29	out through the regular channels.
29 30	out unough the regular channels.
30 31	In response to a question from Rick Katzenberg, George Bower stated that the fact that this
32	warrant article speaks to a Capital Reserve Fund does not change the verbiage or description
33	that should be used.
33 34	that should be used.
35	Rick Katzenberg stated that he would work to get a crew of people ready to stand at the
36	Transfer Station with signs prior to Town Meeting. Chris Buchanan noted that he has created
37	a poll for people to indicate when they can participate at the polls on the day of Town

38	Meeting. DPW Director Eric Slosek asked that the group fill out a form for campaigning at
39	the Transfer Station, prior to doing so.
40	
41	b. FY2024 Congressionally Designated Spending Opportunity
42	
43	Chris Buchanan explained that Senator Shaheen's Office has invited the Committee to
44	resubmit the School Campus project for federally designated spending through the legislature.
45	There is a webinar tomorrow at 11:15am, and he has sent out an invite to the group. The
46	application would need to be submitted by March 10 th . The feedback from last year was that
47	this is a great project but would be better with more engineering and a 20% match. He asked
48 49	that the Committee discuss if it wants to apply for this funding again, and the scope that
49 50	should be applied for. He explained that, if the larger School Campus project including the bridge was applied for and Warrant Article 20 passes this applied to application for this
50 51	bridge was applied for, and Warrant Article 30 passes this spring, the application for this funding could state that the Committee seeks to raise approximately \$140,000 as a local
52	match. This would only amount to approximately 8% of a project that includes the bridge but
52	is still better than nothing. He would not recommend pursuing 100% federal funding for this
55 54	project at this time and noted that that would be a much more competitive process.
55	project at this time and noted that that would be a much more competitive process.
56	Rick Katzenberg asked about submitting an application for the project, without the bridge.
57	Chris Buchanan stated that this would be a smaller project that would likely allow for a 20%
58	match, if the Warrant Article is approved.
59	
60	Chris Buchanan noted that he recently received information regarding ARPA funds.
61	Additional ARPA funding may be available for the School Campus project, but this will not
62	be known until March, after this application is done.
63	
64	Wendy Rannenberg stated that she believes federal funding for the larger project, including
65	the bridge should be sought. It is likely the Town will never be able to afford the cost of the
66	bridge, approximately \$1M, without federal funding.
67	
68	Tom Christensen noted that there does not seem to be any way to cross the bridge safely at
69 70	this time. The safety issue needs to be a critical message.
70 71	George Bower explained that safely crossing the bridge dramatically opens up the potential
72	number of users for the proposed side path and the facilities around the schools. The
73	impediment to getting back and forth to the schools from 90% of Town is that bridge. There is
74	approximately only half the value to this project, without pursuing the bridge.
75	approximitation of the second of the project, when our particular of the orthogon
76	In response to a question from George Bower, Eric Slosek stated that the Boston Post Road
77	bridge is not scheduled to be replaced for quite some time. The bridge is currently rated a 6-7
78	on a scale of 0-9. Eric Slosek explained that he is planning to ask the Board of Selectmen
79	about a Town-wide study of bridges and water crossings to determine what can be done to
80	transition the Town more toward preservation versus replacement.
81	

APPROVED

In response to a question from George Bower, Chris Buchanan stated there should be a 82 83 question asked during the webinar tomorrow regarding if this federal funding opportunity allows for volunteer or Town labor hours to count toward the local match. 84 85 In response to a question from Chris Shenk, Chris Buchanan explained that former DPW 86 Director Eric Hahn stated that the Boston Post Road bridge is too narrow to have a proper 87 facility put on it, as it exists today. He examined a notion of having a cantilever built on the 88 89 eastern side of the bridge to create an 8' wide treatment for people. It was determined that this was not practical, and it would be likely cheaper to have a prefabricated bridge placed across 90 91 the river. 92 93 George Bower stated that the Selectmen control the disposition of funds from the unassigned 94 fund balance at the end of the year. If a group of peers is going to again go forward and put 95 together a grant application that seeks funding for the Town, the Selectmen should get on 96 board and find a way to come up with extra funds that could be applied to the match. 97 98 The group discussed if the project will be submitted with or without the bridge. George Bower 99 stated that the 20% match is a standard federal match, but this is not required. There are projects that get funded with no local contribution, but there are lots of projects that have no 100 local contribution that get no earmark funds. The Committee has history of the Town 101 102 supporting this type of work, and this point is made in the application. That is also a big part of the reason that the application was so favorably received last year. 103 104 105 George Bower moved to authorize the Board of Selectmen to submit an application for the congressionally approved funding for the School Campus project. Seconded 106 by Wendy Rannenberg. 107 108 109 **Discussion:** There was discussion to amend the motion to specifically include language regarding 110 the Boston Post Road bridge. 111 112 George Bower moved to amend the motion to authorize the Board of Selectmen to 113 submit an application for the congressionally approved funding for the School 114 Campus project, including the Boston Post Road bridge, Seconded by Wendy 115 Rannenberg. 116 117 118 Chris Buchanan stated that he spoke to VHB Engineering who has already completed preliminary engineering for this project, but this included a greater extent 119 than the application requires. He asked about the possibility of reducing this 120 engineering down and was told it would cost approximately \$1,000, including some 121 right of way research. He encouraged the Committee to recommend to the Selectmen 122 that up to \$1,500 be expended for this engineering work. 123 124

125	George Bower suggested utilizing the engineering work that has been done on the
126	larger network and position this as part of the Town's work toward this network, as
127	opposed to just segments of the network. If the group can extract from the overall
128	network costs, this piece for the bridge and the School Campus project, it will paint a
129	great picture. The Committee needs the cost estimate for the segment and the
130	preliminary engineering for the segment. The Committee agreed.
131	
132	Vote: 6-0-0, motion carried unanimously.
133	•
134	George Bower moved that the Committee authorize the expenditure of up to \$1,500
135	from the Capital Reserve Fund and recommend the expenditure to the Board of
136	Selectmen for the purpose of providing preliminary engineering information for the
137	application. Seconded by Wendy Rannenberg.
138	Vote: 6-0-0, motion carried unanimously.
139	
140	c. FY2024 Congressionally Designated Spending webinar, 2/15 at 11:15am
141	This item was previously addressed.
142	
143	d. Consider vote: whether to support town application for FY2024
144	Congressionally Designated Spending opportunity
145	This item was previously addressed.
146	
147	2. Baboosic Greenway North-03
148	c. Philanthropic and public fundraising opportunity – The Committee took up this
149	item at this time.
150	Chris Buchanan stated that an anonymous philanthropist is willing to donate thousands of
151	dollars for this particular project but does not want to be the only donor to do so. The Bean
152	Foundation offers capital fundraising monies available to projects in Manchester and
153	Amherst, with the capital fundraising limited to 3%-4% of the total project cost. A prospective
154	capital donation from the Bean Foundation could be an equal match to that of the
155	philanthropist. This would be a good opportunity to secure the philanthropy funding. It would
156	require that an application be submitted to the Bean Foundation prior to April 1 st .
157	
158	Judy Shenk moved that the Committee apply to the Bean Foundation funding.
159	Seconded by Michael Merra.
160	Vote: 6-0-0, motion carried unanimously.
161	
162	In response to a question from George Bower, Eric Slosek stated that the project cost for the
163	federal application may need to be amended, due to increases in costs. Eric Slosek stated that
164	he will reach out to Hoyle Tanner, who did some of the engineering work on Boston Post
165	Road, to ask what types of increases are being seen for these kinds of projects.
166	
167	Chris Buchanan noted that this increase may already be included in the VHB Engineering
168	work.
169	

170 a. Restriping request status

171 Eric Slosek stated that he spoke with the DOT project manager for the bridge rehabilitation project for the Baboosic Lake Road bridge over New Hampshire Route 101. That project 172 manager essentially closed the door on the restriping project but left open the possibility of 173 restriping the bridge only. He spoke with the contractor who will be doing the work on the 174 bridge, and the most recent plans from DOT do not include any striping, as previously 175 discussed. He sent the project manager an email reiterating that this is actually two separate 176 177 projects, as there seemed to be some confusion regarding this project and Amherst Street sidepath. He explained that the CMAQ application is to construct a physical 178 separation/sidepath in that segment, but this separate project is a restriping request which 179 specifically looks to remove an existing line on the northern side of this segment and restripe 180 it to accommodate pedestrian travel over the bridge headed westward towards Milford. 181 182 Eric Slosek stated that there was a previous agreement with Eric Hahn signed in August 2021, 183 184 which he forwarded to the project manager, signed by the State and Town Administrator 185 Shankle for pavement markings. This specifically states a bike lane and does not reference a pedestrian lane. This agreement would require the State to install this lane initially, in 186 187 conjunction with the soon to be commencing construction project. The Town would then 188 further maintain the area. 189 190 Previous emails seem to suggest there was agreeability to this project and willingness on the State's part to entertain the idea. The State specifically asked for a sketch of the project and 191 192 indicated that engineered plans were not necessary. 193 194 In response to a question from Rick Katzenberg, Eric Slosek stated that, as this is a State road, 195 the Town cannot be the one to install this restriping.

196 197

b. CMAQ status

198 Chris Buchanan noted that the Committee will likely not receive word back on this until199 March.

200

Eric Slosek stated that the Transportation Technical Advisory Committee (TTAC) met last Thursday. He was unable to attend and was unaware that CMAQ applications were going to be presented by towns that applied during that meeting. Amherst did not make a presentation at that meeting. He subsequently reached out to NRPC to ask if this would affect the Town's application. NRPC assured him that the Town is still in the running and that this should not affect the application. TTAC meets the second Thursday of every month.

207 208

c. Philanthropic and public fundraising opportunity

209 This item was previously addressed.

210

d. Consider vote: whether to apply to Bean Foundation to be matching donor for fundraising with philanthropist for capital expenses

213 This item was previously addressed.

APPROVED

214	3. Survey request status
215	This item was not directly addressed at this time.
216	
217	4. Village Streets Study communications update
218	a. FAQ
219	
220	b. Online survey
221	
222	c. Second public input meeting, 1st week in April
223	Michael Merra explained that there was a public meeting in October at Wilkins School
224	regarding the potential grant opportunity for this project. There was a sense after the meeting
225	that a better job could have been done at informing the community, especially people who live
226	in the Village, as to what the grant is for. In Thanksgiving or early December work began on
227	public information documents and a mission statement, and FAQs have been created. This
228	document is ready to go to the Selectmen for review and will be sent out in conjunction with a
229	survey that is being crafted with Mobycon. Hopefully this documentation can also be placed
230	on the Town website.
231	
232	Chris Buchanan stated that the next public meeting will likely be in early April. The intent of
233	that meeting will be a second opportunity for people to provide public input. The online
234	survey is an online version of the exact same experience. All of this is focused around
235	identifying specific places in the Village with certain infrastructure attributes that people like
236	or dislike.
237	
238	Rick Katzenberg welcomed Frank Montesanto as the Committee's new representative from
239	the Conservation Commission.
240	
241	5. Other business
242	In response to a question from Rick Katzenberg, George Bower stated that it will be
243	appropriate to discuss the zoning warrant articles once it is determined if any of them pass
244	Town Meeting. The changes proposed by the Planning Board and a citizen petitioner will
245	make the layout and construction of bike/ped paths much more difficult across private land.
246	
247	The group discussed that there will be a clean-up day in Town this spring.
248	
249	Chris and Judy Shenk exited the meeting.
250	
251	Chris Buchanan noted that Frank Montesanto is taking over John Harvey's role for the
252	Conservation Commission. He noted that the Committee will not meet in March. In April, the
253	Committee will vote for Chair and Vice Chair. He suggested meeting with Frank Montesanto
254	to discuss the possibility of an RTP, State-managed trail grant. The threshold for the RTP
255	program is very low and easy to get funding from. This would still include an 80/20 match.
256	There is an opportunity through this grant to fund an access way from Baboosic Lake Road

APPROVED

257	down to the rail bed. This would require some coordination between the Committee and the
258	Conservation Commission.
259	
260	Eric Slosek noted that he received a call from a resident who has some experience with
261	converting rail beds to trails. He is interested in helping the Committee, if possible.
262	
263	6. January 10, 2023 minutes
264	This item was previously addressed.
265	
266	Wendy Rannenberg moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:08pm. Seconded by Michael
267	Merra.
268	Vote: 4-0-0, motion carried unanimously.
269	
270	Respectfully submitted,
271	Kristan Patenaude
272	
273	Minutes approved April 11, 2023