1	AMHERST PLANNING BOARD
2	Wednesday July 18, 2018
3	
4	In attendance: M. Dell Orfano - Chair, A. Rosenblatt, R.Hart, M. Peterman, S. Wilkins, C Harris.
5	A. Rosenblatt called the meeting to order at 7:37pm.
6	
7	NEW BUSINESS:
8	1. CASE #: PZ9888-051618 – Pathway Homes, Inc. (Owners & Applicants) – 16 Deerwood Drive, PIN #: 004-045-007 – Request for approval for a Subdivision
9 10	Application for a two-unit condominium (duplex). Zoned Residential/Rural.
10	Application for a two-unit condominum (duplex). Zoneu Residential/Rurai.
12	The property (PIN #004-045-007) is located at 16 Deerwood Drive and is in the Rural
13	Residential district. The lot is 1.497 acres.
14	
15	Mike Dahlberg, a licensed land surveyor, stated that his client, Pathway Homes, Inc., is
16	constructing a duplex on this property. They would like a condominium subdivision in order to
17	create a 2-unit condo at this site.
18	
19	S. Wilkins moved to accept this plan for review. M. Peterman seconded. All in favor.
20	
21	S. Wilkins moved to approve this Subdivision application, subject to approval of
22	condominium documents by the Town Counsel. M. Peterman seconded. All in favor.
23 24	2. CASE #: PZ10020-062018 – Grey Fox Realty LLC (Owner) & John F. Dunn
24 25	(Applicant) – 66 Spring Road, PIN #: 004-149-000 – Request for a Conditional Use
26	Permit for a Lot Line revision. Zoned Residential Rural.
27	
28	The property (PIN #004-149-000) is located at 66 Spring Road and is in the Rural Residential
29	district. The lot is 7.94 acres. The owner is Ben Bosowski, who resides on County Road, and the
30	applicant is John F. Dunn, who resides at 75 Spring Road.
31	
32	Project Background:
33	The Applicant was before the Board in May requesting a lot line adjustment at the same
34	property. The application was approved for a lot line adjustment between Map 4, Lot 148-3 and
35	Map 4, Lot 150 to include "Parcel A," and increasing the gross area of the lot to 6.48 acres.
36	Mr. John Dunn messented the ease. He stated that the application is to add 2.64 eares of
37 38	Mr. John Dunn presented the case. He stated that the application is to add 3.64 acres of wetland/meadow to Lot 148-3 from Map 4, Lot 149. This land will be added to the current use of
30 39	the additional land across the street from it. He would like to leave the existing approval (from
40	August 5, 2009) in place. That approval allowed for the reuse of an existing building to create 7
41	units of affordable housing. Additional units could be approved under new zoning, but he would
42	like to leave the existing approval in place. The proposed addition of 3.64 acres would be kept in
43	current use and left as wetland/meadow.
44	
45	S. Wilkins stated that, under IIHO, the applicant must show a public benefit to the town for this
	$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M} = $

46 proposal. M. Peterman agreed that the purpose for approving this adjustment was not clear.

48 owner, but has benefit to him for current use. The benefit to the town is that it will be left as is – a scenic area. S. Wilkins stated that it will be left that way either way. 49 50 A. Rosenblatt stated that this plan would allow Mr. Dunn to maintain the land in current use, 51 52 while also building, if he so chose, an additional property. 53 54 S. Wilkins stated that she believes approval of this application would create an illegal, nonconforming lot. G. Leedy stated that, technically, on Spring Road the center line of the road is a 55 56 lot line, so the request is to adjust that line. Thus, a separate, non-conforming lot is not being 57 created. 58 C. Harris moved to approve this Lot Line revision application. 59 60 C. Harris stated that he doesn't see an issue with this application. He believes it changes nothing 61 and doesn't create a separate lot. 62 63 The motion did not receive a second. 64 65 66 S. Wilkins moved to deny this Lot Line revision application on the grounds that innovative housing applications must be scrutinized very thoroughly and the justifications for it must 67 be satisfied. A. Rosenblatt seconded. 68 69 70 S. Wilkins stated that approval of this application would essentially create a non-buildable lot for the purpose of a tax advantage. That is not what this Board is here to do. She stated that on the 71 plans for this application even a licensed surveyor listed the requested 3.64 acres as a new lot 72 73 (149-5) – and not as simply an extension of the lot across the street. 74 Voting: 3-1-1. Motion carried to deny the application. 75 76 3. CASE #: PZ10023-062018 – Ducal Development LLC (Owners & Applicants) – 137 77 78 Hollis Road, PIN #:001-012-000 – Request for approval for a Non-Residential Site Plan for a 28 unit Planned Residential & Senior Housing Development. Zoned 79 **Residential/Rural.** 80 4. CASE #: PZ10024-062018 - Ducal Development LLC (Owners & Applicants) – 137 81 Hollis Road, PIN #:001-012-000 - Request for approval for a Conditional Use 82 Permit for a 28 unit Planned Residential & Senior Housing Development. Zoned 83 **Residential/Rural.** 84 85 Ken Clinton presented the cases. One involves a Conditional Use Permit for the related wetland 86 and wetland buffer impacts due to a private road and drainage construction. The other is a Non-87 Residential Site Plan review for the 28 unit planned residential & senior housing development 88 being proposed for this property. 89

Mr. Dunn stated that this piece of land, across the street from him, has no benefit to its current

90

47

91 Mr. Clinton stated that he has 2 requested waivers. One for the landscape requirements, and one

for the checklist for subdivision items - various reports and studies. 92

94 Mr. Clinton reviewed some of the notable design features of the project: There will be an entrance road off of Route 122, with a private entrance road into a large 95 loop in the back. Walkability – the private road can be used by residents to walk and meet 96 97 each other. There are no sidewalks required, as there is not a traffic concern here. There will be a 4' wide pedestrian travel lane instead of a sidewalk; it will be painted and 98 separated. 99 • The original plans included community space that would be open to the public, but that is 100 101 no longer in the best interest of the overall project. There will be access to a public trail on the property, with 4 dedicated parking spaces with a sign for the trail. The trail will 102 connect with an old logging road and eventually back to the walkable internal paved 103 104 loop. 105 S. Wilkins asked about handicap accessible units. Mr. Clinton stated that the current plans don't 106 107 include any. Mr. Clinton showed the plan for each unit and the choices available for each based on the pre-sized leach fields. The many choices give the developer and the buyer the best 108 combination. M. Peterman stated that the Town and the developer will need to keep close track 109 110 of these choices in order to stay on track. 111 Mr. Clinton stated that the senior units will have a different level of responsibility and 112 113 maintenance than the residential units. It will be one condominium, but more appropriate to think of it as two "villages." 114 115 Mr. Clinton reviewed the wetland buffers with the Board. There is a stream that is within the 116 Pennichuck watershed. This is supposed to be given an automatic 100' buffer, but the plan is to 117 keep it at 50'. There were previously no restrictions or buffers in this area at all, as it was a beef 118 119 cattle pasture. He believes that the buffer will be in much better condition because of the development than it is currently. There will be some shade trees replanted along the brook. 120 121 R. Hart stated that he's happy to hear about the shade trees being planted, but would like to see a wider buffer, if possible. 122 123 124 Mr. Clinton stated that, in the area that the public trail crosses the stream, they will affix a small sign giving information on the brook and the importance of keeping it cool and protected. 125 126 127 A. Rosenblatt stated that he believes there needs to be a basis to grant a waiver, and that using the "nature of the project" as a reason is too broad. 128 129 Mr. Clinton stated that there is a conditional use permit for 26-30 units. They are currently at 28 130 131 units. 132 S. Wilkins stated that, according to the ordinance, other studies are not automatic, but only if 133 determined to be necessary by the Board. 134 135 C. Harris asked if Mr. Clinton would consider grading the area next to the parking lot for the 136 trails, so that overflow people could park there. Mr. Clinton stated that he believes the number of 137 spaces is adequate for the amount of land there's access to. 138

93

139 140 The Board and Mr. Clinton reviewed Keach's review. Mr. Clinton stated that there are currently 10 architectural styles presented for this project. He would like to give G. Leedy the authority to 141 ok an 11<sup>th</sup> style, if decided on, as long as it fits the ones already presented. 142 143 In response to a question from R. Hart, Mr. Clinton stated that the storm water runoff currently 144 goes straight into the wetland. After the development, the storm water will be collected, put in a 145 146 basin, and treated before being discharged to the wetland. 147 148 Rob Clemens, chair of the ACC, stated that the group is not currently prepared to maintain the proposed trail at this property. They are happy to provide advice regarding trail standards and 149 stewards. He asked that the Board examine the encouragement to create open space for density 150 bonuses, which may fall outside of the ACC's budget ability to then maintain. 151 152 M. Peterman moved to accept the waiver requests as delineated: non-residential site plan 153 landscape requirement and the subdivision other studies requirements. S. Wilkins 154 seconded. All in favor. 155 156 S. Wilkins moved to accept both sets of plans for review. M. Peterman seconded. All in 157 158 favor. 159 S. Wilkins moved to approve the CUP for the buffers as presented. M. Peterman seconded. 160 All in favor. 161 162 M. Peterman moved to approve the CUP for 28 units. S. Wilkins seconded. Voting: 4-0-1. 163 164 Motion carried. 165 S. Wilkins moved to approve the site plan as presented with the following conditions: that 166 the numbering will be approved by the Fire Dept; that the 3<sup>rd</sup> party engineering review 167 issues will be worked out with the Planning Director; all appropriate stamps and permit 168 numbers will be put on the plan, that the small conservation signs will be posted as 169 discussed; if additional drawings are submitted, G. Leedy will have the authority to 170 approve or bring back to the Board for review; submittal of condominium documents; 171 submittal of recording fees, etc. M. Peterman seconded. Voting: 4-0-1. Motion carried. 172 173 5. CASE #: PZ10021-062018 – John W. & William R. Day & Virginia Barbera 174 (Owners & Applicants) - Brookwood Drive, PIN #: 005-160-000 - Request for 175 approval for a Planned Residential Development for 10 single family homes. Zoned 176 **Residential/Rural.** 177 178 Tom Carr, of Meridian Services, presented the case. The request is for a planned residential 179 development with 10 single family homes, each up to 4 bedrooms. Part of the land will be 180 private, and there will also be limited common area and a public trail loop. 181 182 183 Mr. Carr and the Board went over the comments on Keach's review. 184

- 185 Mr. Carr presented his waiver requests. There is currently no builder attached to this project, and
- 186 thus there are no architectural plans yet. There is also no landscape plan, for the same reason.
- 187 Finally he's requesting a waiver for the list of studies. Mr. Carr explained that he would come
- back to the Planning Department with the architectural drawings once the development is sold.
- 189 He will also submit the landscape plans at that time.
- 190
- M. Peterman stated that there's not much innovation in the project.
- G. Leedy stated that most of the issues on the 3<sup>rd</sup> party review are ministerial, with the exception of an issue on bonding and a performance guarantee with the construction of a private road and amenities prior to the initial Certificate of Occupancy.
- 196
- A. Rosenblatt stated that he would not like to see approval of this project until architecturalrenderings and a landscape design are presented. Mr. Carr agreed with him.
- 199

A. Rosenblatt moved to table this application until August 1, 2018 to allow the applicant
 time to obtain architectural and landscape plans and address any issues from the 3<sup>rd</sup> party
 review. S. Wilkins seconded. All in favor.

- 203
- 6. CASE #: PZ10022-062018 Jeffrey & Patricia Affeldt (Owners & Applicants) 13
  Clark Avenue, PIN#: 025-043-000 Request for approval for a Conditional Use
  Permit to raze an existing home and rebuild in the same footprint with some
  modifications. Zoned Residential/Rural.
- 208

Tom Carr, of Meridian Services, presented the case. Shoreline and wetlands permits have been 209 210 submitted to the state. A lot line agreement with the abutters has been created. The plan is to raze the current house and barn, which are from the 1900's, and rehabilitate/build them back. The 211 current structures are so out of code that they're too difficult to even work with. The plan will 212 add 2 feet to the garage/barn structure, but it will actually be set back further from the lake. The 213 existing driveway will be replaced with a porous one. The walkways will be made of the same 214 materials, but with payers on top. The overall impervious area will reduce from 31.9% to 28.3%. 215 A storm water drip trench will be installed on the back of the garage/barn to collect all the water 216 running off the roof. There is currently an existing concrete retaining wall on the lake, but it's 217 not in the best condition. The plan is to remove and replace it with a natural round stone wall. 218 Mr. Carr believes that this project will be an enhancement to the shoreline and a significant 219 improvement to the surrounding dwellings and environment. 220 221 222 Rob Clemens, Chair of the ACC, explained to the Board that the Commission is seeing an

- increase in number of proposals for replacing or constructing break walls all around the lake, and
- they are concerned. There is guidance from DES to try to use bioengineering shoreline
- 225 protections whenever possible (vegetation and other materials in combination).
- 226 Mr. Carr explained that he's aware of the state's position but unfortunately it can't match that 227 with every property.
- 228
- A. Rosenblatt moved to approve the Conditional Use Permit application as presented. C.
- 230 Harris seconded. All in favor.

231

234

235

236

A. Rosenblatt exited the meeting.

232 233

7. CASE #: PZ10014-061818 - William, Charles, & Richard Hazen (Owners) & Green & Company (Applicant) - County, Upham, & Cricket Corner, PIN #: 004 & 006 – 116, 118, 121, 122, 145, 102, & 119 – Discussion & presentation of a potential development layout for a proposed residential development. Zoned **Residential/Rural.** 

237 238

239 Joseph Coronati, of Jones & Beach Engineers, and Michael Green, of Green & Company,

- 240 presented the conceptual review.
- 241

The proposed property is 350 acres with a wide range of terrain. There are wetlands, the 242

Sherburne Brook, and scenic roads all within the area. The property has an enormous amount of 243 frontage, and was recently logged. Housing style has not yet been determined. Part of the plan 244

could involve open space with restrictive covenants (around 240 acres), or some of the space 245

(about 200 acres) could be donated to the Town and be open to the public. There is the 246

247 possibility to have a small park area, with community gardens for the residents and/or the Town.

In terms of walkability, there would be trails throughout that could be linked to the open space. 248

They have plans to get density bonuses by rehabilitating an old house and barn that currently 249 exists on the property. 250

251

R. Hart commented that the well and aquifer on the property are very important for the Town 252 253 water supplies. He hopes the plan protects and keeps them available.

254 M. Peterman commented that she likes the idea of pocket neighborhoods, a community garden, 255 256 and the trails.

257

G. Leedy commented that this property could be incorporated into the bike/ped multi-modal 258 259 plan.

260

S. Wilkins commented that they should keep in mind that the IIHO requires them to prove how it 261 will be a public benefit. 262

263

M. Dell Orfano commented that he would like for them to look at the market for housing and 264 show the Board what kind of housing the Town is deficient in and incorporate it into the plan. He 265 would also like a village center-type feel, with places for people to gather without having to 266 drive to town. 267

268

269 Rob Clemens, Chair of the ACC, commented that the ACC made an effort 3 years ago to obtain an area on this property of about 237 acres. There was interest in the conservation values of the 270 wetland there, with a highly transmissible aquifer that runs under the whole property. The ACC 271 272 is still very interested in this property and would like to try to preserve the space.

273

J. Coronati asked about the possibility of paving County Road during the project. 274

275

- 276 M. Dell Orfano asked that the next time they come before the Board they discuss how the project
- will integrate into the town and that they look at the school capacity in regards to their numbers.
- 278
- C. Harris moved to table Case #: PZ10015-061818 Carlson Manor to the August 15, 2018
   meeting. S. Wilkins seconded. All in favor.
- 281
- 282 C. Harris moved to table reviewing the minutes until the next meeting. S. Wilkins
- 283 seconded. All in favor.
- 284
- 285 C. Harris moved to adjourn the meeting at 11:25 p.m. M. Peterman seconded. All in favor.
- 286
- 287 Respectfully submitted,
- 288 Kristan Patenaude