APPROVED – 2/9/2021

- 1 In attendance: Arnie Rosenblatt Chair, Dwight Brew-Selectman Ex-Officio, Bill Stoughton,
- 2 Tracie Adams (Alternate), and Chris Yates (Alternate).
- 3 Staff present: Nic Strong, Community Development Director, and Natasha Kypfer, Town
- 4 Planner.
- 5
- 6 Arnie Rosenblatt called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m., with the following statement. As Chair
- 7 of the Amherst Planning Board, I find that due to the State of Emergency declared by the
- 8 Governor as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's
- 9 Emergency Order #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, as extended by various Executive
- 10 Orders, this public body is authorized to meet electronically.
- 11 Please note that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this
- 12 meeting, which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Order.
- 13 However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are:
- 14 Providing public access to the meeting by telephone, with additional access possibilities by video
- 15 or other electronic means:
- 16 We are utilizing Zoom for this electronic meeting.
- 17
- 18 All members of the Board have the ability to communicate contemporaneously during this
- 19 meeting through this platform, and the public has access to contemporaneously listen and, if 20 necessary, participate in this meeting.
- 21
- 22 Providing public notice of the necessary information for accessing the meeting:
- 23 We previously gave notice to the public of the necessary information for accessing the meeting,
- 24 including how to access the meeting using Zoom or telephonically. Instructions have also been
- 25 provided on the website of the Planning Board at: <u>www.amherstnh.gov</u>.
- 26
- 27 Providing a mechanism for the public to alert the public body during the meeting if there are
- 28 problems with access: If anybody has a problem, please call 603-341-5290.
- 29
- 30 Adjourning the meeting if the public is unable to access the meeting:
- 31 In the event the public is unable to access the meeting, the meeting will be adjourned and
- 32 rescheduled.
- 33
- 34 Please note that all votes that are taken during this meeting shall be done by roll call vote.
- 35
- 36 Let's start the meeting by taking a roll call attendance. When each member states their presence,
- please also state whether there is anyone in the room with you during this meeting, which isrequired under the Right-to- Know law.
- 39 40

41

- Roll call attendance: Bill Stoughton, alone; Chris Yates, alone; Dwight Brew, alone; Tracie Adams, alone; Arnie Rosenblatt, alone.
- 42
 43 Bill Stoughton moved that the Board enter Non-Public Session pursuant to RSA 9144 A:3. II (l) Consideration of legal advice provided by legal counsel, either in writing

APPROVED - 2/9/2021

45 46 47	or orally, to one or more members of the public body, even where legal counsel is not present. Dwight Brew seconded.
47 48 49	Chris Yates sat for Brian Coogan, until he later entered the meeting; Tracie Adams sat for Marilyn Peterman who would not be attending this evening's meeting.
50 51	Roll call vote: Bill Stoughton – aye; Dwight Brew – aye; Chris Yates – aye; Tracie
52 53	Adams - aye. Motion carried unanimously.
55 54	Arnie Rosenblatt stated that the Board would end this public meeting and enter into a separate
55	Zoom session for the non-public part of the meeting. The Board would then reconvene in a
56 57	separate Zoom session for the originally published agenda.
58 59	See separate minutes for the non-public session.
60 61 62	In attendance: Arnie Rosenblatt - Chair, Dwight Brew-Selectman Ex-Officio, Bill Stoughton, Brian Coogan, Mike Dell Orfano, Tracie Adams (Alternate), Chris Yates (Alternate), and Christy Houpis (Alternate) [7:29pm].
63 64	Staff present: Nic Strong, Community Development Director; Natasha Kypfer, Town Planner; and Kristan Patenaude, Minute Taker.
65	and Kristan Fatenaude, Windte Faker.
66	Arnie Rosenblatt called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m., with the following statement. As Chair
67 68	of the Amherst Planning Board, I find that due to the State of Emergency declared by the Governor as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's
69	Emergency Order #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, as extended by various Executive
70	Orders, this public body is authorized to meet electronically.
71	Please note that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this
72	meeting, which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Order.
73	However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are:
74 75	Providing public access to the meeting by telephone, with additional access possibilities by video or other electronic means:
75 76	We are utilizing Zoom for this electronic meeting.
70 77	we are utilizing zoom for this electronic meeting.
78	All members of the Board have the ability to communicate contemporaneously during this
79	meeting through this platform, and the public has access to contemporaneously listen and, if
80	necessary, participate in this meeting through dialing the following phone #312-626-6799 and
81	password 837 6130 9213, or by clicking on the following website address:
82 83	https://zoom.us/j/83761309213 that was included in the public notice of this meeting.
84	Providing public notice of the necessary information for accessing the meeting:
85	We previously gave notice to the public of the necessary information for accessing the meeting,
86	including how to access the meeting using Zoom or telephonically. Instructions have also been
87	provided on the website of the Planning Board at: <u>www.amherstnh.gov</u> .
88	

APPROVED – 2/9/2021

- Providing a mechanism for the public to alert the public body during the meeting if there are problems with access: If anybody has a problem, please call 603-341-5290.
- 90 91
- 92 Adjourning the meeting if the public is unable to access the meeting:
- 93 In the event the public is unable to access the meeting, the meeting will be adjourned and
- 94 rescheduled.
- 95
- 96 Please note that all votes that are taken during this meeting shall be done by roll call vote.97

Let's start the meeting by taking a roll call attendance. When each member states their presence,
please also state whether there is anyone in the room with you during this meeting, which is
required under the Right-to- Know law.

- 100 101 102
- Roll call attendance: Dwight Brew; Bill Stoughton; Brian Coogan; Tracie Adams; Chris Yates; Mike Dell Orfano; and Arnie Rosenblatt; all alone and present.
- 103 104

105 Tracie Adams sat for Cynthia Dokmo, in her absence.

106 Chris Yates sat for Marilyn Peterman, in her absence.

108 **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

109

107

SCENIC ROAD PUBLIC HEARING –DPW DIRECTOR ERIC HAHN In accordance with NH RSA 231:158, the Amherst Planning Board will hold a Public Hearing for the removal of oak trees on the following scenic roads: Brook, Mack Hill, Pond Parish and Baboosic Lake Roads. *Continued from January 6, 2021*

114 DPW Director, Eric Hahn, and Assistant DPW Director, Eric Slosek, joined the Board. Eric 115 Hahn explained that the proposal is to cut trees on scenic roads in three locations. Two of these 116 areas are due to line-of-sight issues, and the third due to concerns with a dead tree.

117

118 Eric Hahn stated that the first location is on Pond Parish Road, heading toward Baboosic Lake

119 Road. Approaching the last 100 yards to Baboosic Lake Road at the intersection, there is a stop

120 sign that can't be seen until within 40-50' of the intersection. The DPW has moved the sign

121 closer to the edge of the pavement and placed a Stop Ahead sign in order to help with traffic

122 control. The sign has been hit twice since it was moved. There are trees on the right-hand side of

- Pond Parish Road that block drivers from being able to see the stop sign. He is proposing to
- remove four trees in this location, the largest of which is approximately 12" in diameter.
- 125

126 Eric Hahn stated that the second location is at Mack Hill Road and Woodland Drive,

127 approaching the stop sign on Mack Hill Road. There are five large pine trees in this area that

128 completely block the view of cars. The only way to see the stop sign in this location is to stop

129 prior to the stop bar and look up the hill. He noted that he has the support of the local residents to

- 130 make this change.
- 131

APPROVED – 2/9/2021

132 Eric Hahn explained that the third location is along Brook Road. There are seven trees in this

133 area, six of which are completely dead, and the seventh of which is dying. These trees are

- 134 clustered so closely together that there is concern about wind/storm events. The DPW hired an
- aerial lifter prior to this hearing to trim the trees as a preventive measure. This is an important
- 136 enough hazard that the trimming needed to be completed prior to this request for removal.
- 137

138 In response to a question from Brian Coogan, Eric Hahn explained that he has the support of the 139 residents at 3 Brook Road for the removal, and also the neighbors across the street. The trees

residents at 3 Brook Road for the removal, and also the neighbors across the street. The trees proposed for removal along Pond Parish Road are on the Town's property and do not add value

- 141 to the overhead canopy in this area.
- Mike Dell Orfano moved to accept the cutting of trees along scenic roads, as
 proposed by the DPW. Dwight Brew seconded.
- Roll Call: Brian Coogan aye; Mike Dell Orfano aye; Chris Yates aye; Dwight
 Brew aye; Bill Stoughton aye; and Tracie Adams aye. Motion carried
 unanimously.
- 148
- 149 2. CASE #: PZ12164-121619 MIGRELA and GAM Realty Trust (Owners)
- 4 MIGRELA Realty Trust (Applicant), Carlson Manor, 153-159 Hollis Road, PIN
 4 #s: Tax Map 1, Lots 8 & 8-2, Tax Map 2, Lots 7, 7A, 7B, 3-1 & 3-2-
- 151 #S: Tax Map 1, Lots 8 & 8-2, Tax Map 2, Lots 7, 7A, 7B, 5-1 & 5-2– 152 Public Hearing/Subdivision & Non-Residential Site Plan. Proposed 54-unit
- 152 Public Hearing/Subdivision & Non-Residential Site Plan. Proposed 54-un
- 153 condominium style development. Zoned Residential/Rural. Continued
 154 from December 16, 2020
- Nic Strong noted that the Board previously accepted this application as complete. The hearing was continued in December, with the applicant's agreement. The Town has since received one sheet of a revised plan from the applicant, showing some of the proposed stormwater features on site no longer located within the wetlands buffer. This is the reason that a CUP has not yet been submitted for this project.
- 160

161 Chad Branon, civil engineer with Fieldstone Land Consultants, and Gerry Prunier, attorney, both 162 representing GAM Realty, joined the Board. Chad Branon stated that he last met with the Board 163 on December 16, 2020, and that there were many concerns regarding stormwater, the location of

the stormwater features on site, and how the density of the project will impact stormwater in this

area. He discussed these items with the owner and a redesign was completed to remove the

166 stormwater features from the buffer. Chad Branon noted that this change did impact density, now

- 167 proposed at 52 units, by reducing the total density by two units.
- 168

169 Chad Branon explained that he spoke with the State and the UNH Stormwater Center regarding

- temperatures of the water on site to see if improvements can be made. The stormwater
- 171 management changes were made behind units 5 and 6, and in the middle of the development.
- 172 The stormwater systems behind units 1, 2, and 3 were reworked and shifted as well. The outlet
- into the buffer was graded to move the water away from the jurisdictional wetland. He explained
- 174 that the UNH Stormwater Center completed a four-year study on thermal impacts and the best

APPROVED – 2/9/2021

175 management practices to mitigate the temperatures of associated stormwater. It was determined 176 that the type of development and function of it is important. This project being proposed is 177 different than the parking lot that UNH uses to collect its data because, while there are 178 impervious surfaces, these are being run over infiltration areas for cooling to occur. The 179 proposed gravel wetland systems on site are a requirement of the Alteration of Terrain (AoT) 180 Bureau because they will remove a substantial amount of pollutants, and due to this being a 181 sensitive watershed area. The UNH results showed that gravel wetlands do well to mitigate some 182 temperature impacts because of the amount of time that the stormwater spends in them and the 183 subsurface components. He noted that the revised plans will be reviewed by the AoT Bureau to 184 look at impacts on water quality and the effects on adjacent areas. The plans will also be 185 reviewed by Fish & Game. He explained that the whole revised plan set has not yet been 186 submitted to the Town but will be shortly. He will also be making a presentation to the ACC. 187 188 *Christy Houpis entered the meeting* [7:29 pm]. 189 190 Bill Stoughton thanked Chad Branon for taking the Board's concerns to heart. He believes the 191 proposed changes made to the stormwater systems on site are significant improvements. He

explained that anything that gets the water on site into the ground and doesn't allow it to pool
will be good for the temperature. The distance of the proposed systems from the wetlands and
Witches Brook is a tremendous improvement. He noted that the ACC will be interested in seeing

194 Witches Brook is a tremendous improvement. He noted that the ACC will be interested in seeing 195 these changes; he suspects the Commissioners will likely be pleased.

196

197 Bill Stoughton noted that there was obviously some technical work still to be done on this

application, so he assumed the applicant was not asking the Board for an approval at this

199 meeting. Chad Branon stated that at the last meeting it had been noted that a CUP should be

submitted and go to the ACC and that had been his intention. He noted that he was always ready

201 to get an approval, but that he had decided to come to meet with the Board to provide an update

and explain the change in plans and the reason why a CUP had not been submitted.

- In response to Bill Stoughton's question about technical work to be done, Chad Branon stated
- that he still needs to change the stormwater analysis numbers, but these will meet local and state

205 requirements for stormwater treatment standards.

206

Bill Stoughton stated that this development is proposed to be partly 62/65+ housing and partly

208 non-restricted (less than 62/65). He questioned if this layout complies with federal regulations.

He mentioned section 24 CFR 100.303, which he believes suggests that all units in the

210 development must be 62+ in order to qualify for an exemption from antidiscrimination laws. He

211 noted that this is not crystal clear, but he would like it addressed. Bill Stoughton stated that the

Town's requirement for a development to qualify as elderly housing is that it complies with

federal and state law. He questioned if this proposed development would comply because it has

some restricted housing, and some non-restricted housing. Chad Branon stated that he would

215 look into this issue.

216

217 Bill Stoughton questioned how the applicant will assure that the elderly housing units will be

218 occupied only by qualifying persons. If the applicant is using the 62+ exemption, every occupant

APPROVED – 2/9/2021

of the dwelling must be 62+ at all times. He would like to know how that will be enforced.

220 Federal regulations, when dealing with 55+ housing, require periodic surveys of the age of

221 occupants, with identification to prove age, with records of this information kept and available.

This is part of 24 CFR 100.307. This requirement is not found in the 62+ housing section of the

223 regulations.224

Gerry Prunier stated that part of the condo docs will be rules and regulations to keep the
restricted housing within the correct age bracket. He believes a survey could be added to the
condo docs so that the directors could complete an annual review survey. Bill Stoughton noted

that there is nothing yet currently in the condo docs with regard to the age-restricted units' enforcement. Gerry Prunier stated that, once it is known which units will be restricted, this

230 section can be added for compliance.

231

Bill Stoughton stated that it is the Board's job to make sure that applicants are following the

requirements set forth. He appreciates where there is an effort made by an applicant to comply with these requirements, and not for it simply being left for the Board to catch. He stated that this

- is unfair to the people of this Town.
- 236

239

Chris Yates stated that he would like to see diagrams of the 1- and 2-bedroom units and aphasing plan. He noted that he has asked for this multiple times.

Mike Dell Orfano emphasized Bill Stoughton's comment around being upfront about the long-term enforcements of the proposed age-restricted housing.

242

In response to a question from Tracie Adams, Chad Branon stated that he will need to relook at the landscaping proposed in the buffer now that the stormwater features have been moved out.

The intent is to try to generate more shade for the jurisdictional wetland areas on site. Part of the

246 CUP to the ACC was going to be an updated plan that specifically addresses buffer mitigation

- through the center of the project.
- 248
- Brian Coogan stated that he had no comments at this time.
- Dwight Brew extended his appreciation to the applicant for his effort to relocate the stormwater treatments out of the buffer areas.
- 253

Christy Houpis stated that he appreciates the proposed changes. He will re-watch this hearing, ashe missed the beginning.

- 256
- 257 <u>Public Comment:</u>

Linda Sutherland, 32 Peacock Brook Lane, stated that she appreciates the adjustments made. She

would also like to see an adjustment to the total number of units being proposed.

260

APPROVED – 2/9/2021

In response to a question from Linda Sutherland regarding the traffic study, Nic Strong explained
 that the traffic study is part of the record for this application and can be found on the Town
 website.

264

Linda Sutherland asked how long this development would take to build from start to finish, noting her concerns with noise, dirt and commotion. Chad Branon explained that this will be a phased project. The applicant hopes to submit a complete application package for the Board's next meeting that will contain more information. This is proposed to be a four phased approach, entirely market-based. He has seen similar projects elsewhere take anywhere from three to eight years depending on the market conditions. The project will be phased so that construction and infrastructure will be completed in an orderly fashion.

272

Tom Quinn, 30 Christian Hill Road, asked that the Board consider if the proposed bonus units

- are really worthy of being approved. He also has questions about the mix of age-restricted and
 non-restricted housing being allowed within one development and what would happen if this was
- 276 not feasible.277

The Board discussed continuing the application and Chad Branon indicated that the applicant was willing to agree to an extension of the deadline for Planning Board action on this application with the March 2, 2021 meeting

- until the March 3, 2021, meeting.
- 281

287

289

- 282Mike Dell Orfano moved to table this application to March 3, 2021, at 7pm, via283Zoom. Dwight Brew seconded.
- 284Roll Call: Brian Coogan aye; Mike Dell Orfano aye; Chris Yates aye; Dwight285Brew aye; Bill Stoughton aye; and Tracie Adams aye. Motion carried286unanimously.
- 288 OTHER BUSINESS
 - 3. Meeting Minutes: 12/16/20, 1/6/21

Bill Stoughton moved to approve the meeting minutes of December 16, 2020, as modified [Line 567 – Bill Stoughton abstained from the vote]. Roll Call: Brian Coogan – aye; Mike Dell Orfano – aye; Chris Yates – aye; Dwight Brew – aye; Bill Stoughton – aye; and Tracie Adams – aye. Motion carried unanimously.

- Bill Stoughton moved to approve the meeting minutes of January 6, 2021, as
 modified [Line 187 to change "this village" to "the west village"].
 Roll Call: Brian Coogan aye; Mike Dell Orfano aye; Chris Yates aye; Dwight
 Brew aye; Bill Stoughton aye; and Tracie Adams aye. Motion carried
 unanimously.
- 301 **un** 302
- **4.** Any other business that may come before the Board

APPROVED – 2/9/2021

304 Arnie Rosenblatt explained that two outside independent reviews for the Clearview project's 305 traffic and hydrogeological studies were reviewed with Ken Clinton, Meridian Land Services. 306 The proposed hydrogeological study peer review included an assumption of time spent with the 307 Board at a meeting. The other study mentioned an additional charge should there be any time 308 spent with the Board. He noted that Ken Clinton didn't believe that there should need to be 309 attendance at a Board meeting by the independent consultant. This appears to be at a standstill 310 because the Board needs the applicant's blessing. 311 312 Christy Houpis explained that he assumed when requesting the consultant that the consultant 313 would be available to speak to the Board and answer questions if need be. 314 315 Mike Dell Orfano noted that he cannot recall a time that the Board had a review consultant come 316 in to speak to them. Typically, an outside consultant reviews the submitted studies for the 317 process and methodology used. The independent consultant then reworks the conclusion and 318 accepts/rejects the conclusion of the study. The independent consultant works for the Board as an 319 irrefutable expert to determine if the information submitted meets the intent of the ordinances 320 and is in the best interests of the Town. 321 322 Dwight Brew stated that he understands that Ken Clinton doesn't want to spend his client's 323 money unnecessarily. He believes it would be reasonable to request a written report from the 324 independent consultant and, if it doesn't stand alone, the Board would then have the ability to ask 325 questions of the consultant. 326 327 Mike Dell Orfano stated that he believes this is a reasonable way to proceed. He also noted that, 328 if the written report casts any doubts, Ken Clinton will likely know and want to hear from the 329 consultant as well. 330 331 Bill Stoughton agreed with Dwight Brew. 332 333 Tracie Adams agreed with Dwight Brew. 334 335 Arnie Rosenblatt noted that one of the independent consultants stated that additional time with 336 the Board would be an extra charge. The other independent consultant had the time included in 337 the quote. He explained that the second consultant could be asked for their quote, minus the time 338 spent additionally with the Board, as it is yet unclear if this will be necessary. This second quote 339 was overall lower. 340 341 Nic Strong noted that the Board meeting on February 9, 2021, will start with a Non-Public 342 Meeting and then move into Public Session, similar to this meeting. 343 344 Mike Dell Orfano moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:09pm. Tracie Adams 345 seconded.

APPROVED - 2/9/2021

346	Roll Call: Brian Coogan – aye; Mike Dell Orfano – aye; Chris Yates – aye; Dwight
347	Brew – aye; Bill Stoughton – aye; and Tracie Adams – aye. Motion carried
348	unanimously.
349	
350	
351	
352	Respectfully submitted,
353	Kristan Patenaude

354

355 Minutes approved: February 9, 2021