1 2	AMHERST PLANNING BOARD Wednesday July 5, 2017
3	
4	In attendance: A. Rosenblatt- Chair, S. Wilkins, M. Dell Orfano, M. Peterman, P. Lyon- Selectman Ex-
5	Officio, E. Hahn, C. Harris and R. Hart
6	A. Rosenblatt called the meeting to order at 7:30pm.
7	
8	Case # PZ8705-060517- Dupont Landscape & Excavation LLC (Applicant) & Dupont and Daughter
9	(Owner)- 3 Manhattan Dr 002-031-001 Request for approval to construct a 6,600 square foot single
10	story commercial building within the industrial zone.
11	NRSP Review application for a new 6,600 SF building on an existing 1.01 Acre lot in the Industrial District
12	The facility is intended to be a storage facility for landscape and excavation equipment inside the
13 14	building.
14 15	Kevin Anderson from Meridian along with Dave Dupont presented the case.
16	Dave is looking to move his business from Merrimack to Amherst.
17	bave is looking to move his basiness from Werninder to Admicist.
18	The lot is already cleared with no trees or buildings. There is a single curb cut access into the property.
19	The building going in is prefabricated. Photos were shown. The building is intended to store all of the
20	vehicles and equipment for the business. As stated in the notes of the site plan, there will be no outside
21	storage of materials.
22	They are requesting a waiver from professional landscaping. Meridian submitted a landscaping plan
23	which Gordon has seen and deems adequate.
24	
25	Storm water mitigation for the site is simple. The site has been graded to two storm water ponds on
26 27	site. They are shallow depressions which are not obtrusive and incorporate leaching catch-basins in
28	order to promote infiltration into the ground. They will be easily maintained with lawn mowers.
29	The site is over an aquifer overlay district. A new monitoring well is being proposed. The old one will be
30	wiped out during the construction.
31	They will incorporate a floor drain into this building. It will be connected to a holding tank outside the
32	building. Snowmelt will come off the vehicles and need to be treated and properly disposed.
33	No landscaping materials will be stored on site. No regulated or hazardous materials will be stored on
34	site. There will be a rubber barrier under the slab.
35	
36	Required permits: the septic design permit is pending. The floor drain needs to be registered with the
37	state. Meridian is putting maintenance requirements together in a single document.
38	
39	C. Harris stated the drain seems to mitigate the questions the board usually has.
40	M. Dell Orfano asked why there was a monitoring well on that site proviously. C. Harris said it was a
41 42	M. Dell Orfano asked why there was a monitoring well on that site previously. C. Harris said it was a
42	dump site with old vehicles prior to the tree service ownership and the board wanted to monitor that.  M. Dell Orfano recommended the new owner get a baseline reading from the old one.
43 44	wi. Den Oriano recommended the new owner get a baseline reading from the old one.
45	M. Peterman confirmed the floor drain will capture what comes off of and out of the vehicles. She also
46	asked about signage. Kevin said the plans are for a 64-sq. ft. ground-mounted sign.
47	

S. Wilkins and Kevin clarified Note 17 will also state no indoor storage of materials.

49 R. Hart asked where on the site the monitoring well will be. Kevin described the location. 50 51 Public comment 52 None 53 M. Peterman asked about the staff recommendations. Yes, Kevin has seen them and they've been 54 55 addressed. 56 57 S. Wilkins moved and C. Harris seconded to approve the waiver request. All in favor M. Peterman moved to accept the plan for review. S. Wilkins seconded. All in favor 58 59 P. Lyon moved to approve the plan subject to conditions as outlined by G. Leedy and to include the 60 addition in note 17 of no indoor storage of materials. M. Dell Orfano seconded. 61 62 Discussion 63 M. Dell Orfano asked what the covered outdoor area is for. It will be used for equipment storage. 64 65 P. Lyon asked who monitors the monitoring well. S. Wilkins said the applicant pays to have it tested the 66 first year and submits the data to the board. From that point, someone in the office here takes over. 67 68 Vote: All in favor 69 70 **OTHER BUSINESS** 71 Vote to approve Road and Utilities Standards for a public hearing. 72 73 Upon review, S. Wilkins concluded the document presented does reflect the board's discussion. 74 Jim O'Mara has concerns about cutting pavement and this addresses that concern. 75 Steve Keach and Colleen Mailloux wrote this document in 2015. 76 77 The vote tonight is whether or not to post the road standards for a public hearing. 78 79 S. Wilkins explained her issues with the document: 80 1. Section 301.2 seems in conflict with itself. It states promoting both 1) continuation of streets to 81 adjoining neighborhoods and 2) maximum separation of through and local traffic discouraging through 82 traffic in residential neighborhoods. 83 84 2. Driveway regulations page 21 section 3.10 were included, which she is in favor of. 85 However, page 27 Section J. 2. references to Section X.C, which does not exist. 86 87 There were differing opinions about whether to incorporate the driveway regulations as part of this 88 document. A. Rosenblatt stated the driveway regulations can be disengaged from this document. 89 90 S. Wilkins moved to post for public hearing Part 3 sections 301-309. C. Harris seconded. All in favor

C. Harris moved to approve the minutes of June 7<sup>th</sup> as amended. M. Peterman seconded.

91 92

93

94

95 96 June 7

All in favor

Minutes: June 7, 2017 and June 21, 2017

Line 34 should move to above line 28.

2

C. Harris moved to approve the minutes of June 21<sup>st</sup> as submitted. R. Hart seconded. All in favor with the following abstentions: M. Peterman, M. Dell Orfano and P. Lyons.

M. Dell Orfano brought up the topic of the Masterplan. He said we might have missed an opportunity to work on them with another group. He would like to put it on an upcoming work session agenda to begin working on the Masterplan incrementally over the next three years so we are ready to adopt it at the ten-year mark. A. Rosenblatt suggested he bring ideas on how to begin incrementally working on the Masterplan to the next work session meeting.

## S. Wilkins moved to adjourn at 8:10pm. C. Harris seconded. All in favor

Respectfully submitted,

110 Jessica Marchant