	November 18, 2021 APPROVED
1 2 3	In attendance: Jamie Ramsay – Chair, Chris Hall – Vice-Chair, Chris Buchanan [7:14pm], Tracie Adams – Planning Board Ex-Officio, Tom Grella – Board of Selectmen Ex-Officio, and Bill Rapf.
4 5 6	Staff present Natasha Kypfer – Town Planner, and Kristan Patenaude – Recording Secretary (remote).
7 8 9	Jamie Ramsay called the work session to order at 7:12 p.m. and introduced Commission and staff members present.
10	WORK SESSION TOPICS:
11	a. Regulation updates
12	a. Windows and doors
13	b. Views
14	c. Modern mechanical equipment
15	
16	Chris Hall stated that there could be modifications made to many of the sections of the
17	ordinance. The Commission must then discuss how the regulations can be altered to reflect these
18 19	changes.
20	Chris Buchanan entered at 7:14pm.
20	Chris Buchanan emered al 7.14pm.
22	Natasha Kypfer noted that, after a work session on May 6, 2021, a memo was sent suggesting a
23	process: review the ordinances and regulations for changes, Community Development Office
24	staff draft these revisions and make note of statutory updates or historic preservation standards,
25	review and discussion by the Commission at a work session for additional changes, and finally to
26	adopt the changes, per the requirements. Rules of Procedure could be adopted at a regular
27	Commission meeting, changes to the regulations could be adopted at a public hearing held by the
28	Commission, and zoning ordinance changes could be adopted following a public hearing and a
29 30	vote at Town Meeting.
30 31	In response to a question from Will Ludt, 3 School Street, regarding if guidelines and regulations
32	must be followed by homeowners, Chris Hall explained that homeowners must follow the
33	Commission's regulations, but that these are also seen as conditional to the property, depending
34	on where the work is being done and the significance of the property. If the Commission created
35	guidelines, these would give the public a general idea of what to expect.
36	
37	Chris Buchanan stated that the Commission could discuss how to change certain regulations,
38	while also looking at how this would be translated into a guideline document for the public. The
39	Commission may want to be very specific with certain regulations, or broader, using a scale, for
40	other items. The scale could take into account Contributing/Non-Contributing properties.
41 42	Will I ust asked if ordinances, guidalings, and regulations are addressed similarly by all
42 43	Will Ludt asked if ordinances, guidelines, and regulations are addressed similarly by all boards/commissions in Town. There was discussion regarding enforcement issues that all
43 44	boards/commissions face. Will Ludt noted that the Heritage Commission is trying to get a metal
	courds commissions face. (if in Duct noted that the freffuge commission is a ying to get a metal
	Page 1 of 4Minutes approved: December 16, 2021

November 18, 2021

## APPROVED

- 45 detecting policy passed in Town, but there is an issue with how it will be enforced. Chris Hall
- stated that the Historic District Commission also faces enforcement issues, as it is a quasi-judicial group.
- 48
- 49 Chris Hall stated that he believes there are certain houses in Town that should be considered
- 50 Significant. There are certain houses that are already called out that way through the National 51 Registry of Historical Places.
- 52
- 53 Tracie Adams stated that regulations are more binding and very specific, but guidelines can be 54 helpful to give the public a sense of direction. She believes both are useful.
- 55
- 56 Chris Hall explained that the National Registry has approximately 170 or so properties listed in
- 57 Town. There were then an additional 100-150 properties added to the Historic District outside of
- 58 the National Registry, previously considered the "Extended District."
- 59
- Tracie Adams stated that a regulation could be added defining the different types of significantproperties.
- 62
- 63 Chris Buchanan stated that the Commission seems to want to review its procedures, to develop
- 64 categories for properties (reviewing all properties, determining what to do with the "Extended
- District), and looking at proposed scales for the regulations. Chris Buchanan displayed an
- 66 example of such a scale.
- 67
- Tracie Adams suggested that the scale could be different for each of three categories: Significant,Contributing, and Non-Contributing.
- 70

71 Chris Hall stated that he believes Amherst could adopt similar documents to those used in

- Portsmouth. Natasha Kypfer noted that Portsmouth worked with someone at the Preservation
   Design Partnership to develop the detailed design guidelines. The Commission voted to adopt
- 74 these and added them to their zoning ordinance.
- 75
- Town Administrator Shankle stated that, if this is part of Portsmouth's zoning ordinance, then it
  is a public document and can be used by other entities without issue. He explained that Section
  4.15 of Amherst's zoning ordinance gives the Commission authority to create regulations for
- 79 design guidelines consistent with the ordinance. The Commission may adopt the guidelines and
- 80 then, by reference, those are part of the zoning ordinance.
- 81
- 82 Chris Hall stated that he believes the windows and doors, and small-scale construction portions
  83 of the Portsmouth documents would be important for the Commission to consider using.
- 84
- 85 Chris Buchanan stated that the Commission could review the Portsmouth document and then set
- 86 a workshop to determine what to do with it. He stated that the Commission needs to review the
- 87 statutory requirements for its procedures.88

November 18, 2021

## APPROVED

89 Tracie Adams stated that the Planning Board made some changes to its procedures, based on

- 90 RSA 676. This included making a determination of completeness, prior to hearing an application.
- 91 This is determined using a checklist from the Community Development Office. Also, per RSA
- 92 36:56, the Planning Board determines regional impact from each application as well. It would be
- simple for the Commission to follow both of these procedural items per RSA.
- 94

95 Chris Hall stated that, as a consumer, he would be upset if he filled out all necessary information

- 96 and then came before the Commission, only to be told to come back next month as part of these 97 procedures. Natasha Kypfer explained that, in reviewing applications, she personally contacts
- procedures. Natasha Kypfer explained that, in reviewing applications, she personally contacts
   applicants if there are missing items, and this is documented in each Staff Report.
- <u>99</u>
- 100 Chris Hall stated that there are many applications that come before the Commission that are
- 101 incomplete. While it would be great if completeness was more of an administrative item, he is
- 102 concerned that the Community Development Office may not always know the specifics of what
- 103 to look for. Natasha Kypfer stated that applicants are alerted if the applicant is incomplete and
- 104 are sent copies of the Staff Report.
- 105
- 106 Tracie Adams noted that, per the statute, the Commission would open a hearing, determine
- 107 completeness and regional impact, and then hear the application. This will keep the Commission108 within State regulations.
- 109

110 Chris Buchanan stated that the Community Development Office does a good job at determining

- 111 checklist items and creating clear Staff Reports. To the point that things are absent from an
- application, this speaks to the checklist not having been updated by the Commission with certain
- 113 requirements. The Commission could review this checklist and suggest necessary changes.
- 114

In response to a question from Bill Rapf regarding how to determine regional impact, Tracie
 Adams stated that this does not necessarily impact the applicant, but simply alerts other towns to

- potentially send a representative to hear the application. Chris Buchanan stated that he cannot
- 118 believe this will ever be an issue for the Commission, but it is a statutory requirement.
- 119
- 120 Chris Hall suggested that there be a Chairman checklist as well in case someone needs to fill in
- 121 for the Chair. Tracie Adams stated that the Planning Board goes through a similar template so 122 that everyone knows how the meeting will flow.
- 122
- 124 Chris Hall suggested that the items reviewed in the findings of the application could be brought 125 up toward the beginning of a hearing, in order to review these items with an applicant off the bat.
- 126
- Jamie Ramsay stated that he would like it to be clear that just because an application is complete,does not mean it is automatically approved.
- 129
- 130 In response to a question from Will Ludt regarding the percentage of applications that come
- 131 before the Commission for an initial conceptual review, Chris Buchanan stated this is
- 132 approximately 20% of all applications. Jamie Ramsay stated that this has always been

November 18, 2021

133	encouraged, but maybe should be mandatory for a substantial project. This would be an
134	exchange of ideas between the applicant and the Commission before it rises to the level of a
135	formal application. Tracie Adams noted that the Planning Board uses the process of conceptual
136	reviews as well. Chris Hall stated that this could be placed in the regulations.
137	
138	Chris Buchanan noted action items for the Commission: categorize all properties in the HDC.
139	Chris Hall stated that he already has a list of suggestions for this item that the Commission can
140	review before the next work session.
141	
142	Another action item is reviewing meeting procedures in order to meet statutory requirements.
143	The Commission should also review the existing checklist used for applications.
144	
145	The Commission could also review the windows and doors section of the Portsmouth document.
146	
147	Natasha Kypfer stated that the deadline for the next scheduled meeting has not yet passed, but
148	there are no applications submitted so far.
149	
150	Chris Hall noted that the Commission does not currently have a letter of disapproval.
151	
152	OTHER BUSINESS:
153	1. Minutes: October 21, 2021
154	
155	Tom Grella moved to approve the minutes of October 21, 2021, as amended [Line
156	32: change "completed" to "completely"]. Seconded by Chris Hall.
157	Voting: 5-0-1; Jamie Ramsay – aye, Chris Hall – aye, Tracie Adams – abstain, Chris
158	Buchanan - aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Bill Rapf – aye; motion carried.
159	
160	Tracie Adams moved to adjourn at 8:29pm. Tom Grella seconded.
161	Voting: 6-0-0; Jamie Ramsay – aye, Chris Hall – aye, Tracie Adams – aye, Chris
162	Buchanan - aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Bill Rapf – aye; motion carried.
163	
164	
165	Respectfully submitted,
166	Kristan Patenaude
167	
168	Minutes approved: December 16, 2021