APPROVED

- 1 In attendance: Jamie Ramsay Chair, Chris Hall Vice-Chair, Chris Buchanan, Doug
- 2 Chabinsky, Tom Grella Board of Selectmen Ex-Officio, Tracie Adams Planning Board Ex-
- 3 Officio and Bill Rapf (remote) [7:11pm].
- 4 Staff present Natasha Kypfer Town Planner, and Kristan Patenaude Recording Secretary
- 5 (remote).
- 6
- Jamie Ramsay called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m. and introduced Commission and staff
 members present.
- 9

10 **NEW BUSINESS:**

- CASE #: PZ14594-080321 Emily Myers & Tyler Torola (Owners & Applicants), 144 Amherst Street, PIN #: 017-002-000 – Request for approval to replace one window in rear of house on attached shed/mudroom with set of exterior French doors.
- 15 Emily Myers and Tyler Torola presented the case.
- 16

17 Tracie Adams asked if the application was accepted as complete. Chris Hall noted that the

- 18 Commission does not typically undertake this process. Jamie Ramsay stated that he believes
- 19 anything glaringly apparently wrong with the application would probably have been noted by
- 20 Town Staff. Chris Hall stated that this is not necessarily true, but he has reviewed the application
- and believes it is acceptable.
- 22
- 23 Emily Myers stated that she is proposing to put refurbished French doors on an attached
- shed/mudroom to the house. There is one current window in that area that does not match with
- 25 the rest of the windows in the house. The French doors are all-wood, with 15 true divided lights.
- 26 The doors will be white and will have trim to match. The doors are proposed in the back of the
- 27 house and so will not be readily visible to abutters.
- 28
- Tyler Torola stated that the doors will be made to match the existing doors in that part of thehouse.
- 31
- In response to a question from Chris Hall, Emily Myers stated that they were able to find a selleron Etsy who will match the hardware for the doors to the oldest hardware in the house.
- 34
- Tyler Torola stated that the full set of hinges will be used. These will be outswinging doors.
- Doug Chabinsky noted that, due to location, the only people who might see these doors would bea couple of abutters. They will not be visible from public roadways or walkways.
- 39
- 40 There were no further questions or comments from the Commission.
- 41
- 42 There was no comment from the public either in-person or via Zoom.

43

44	Doug Chabinsky moved to accept the application as presented. Tom Grella
45	seconded.
46	
47	Discussion:
48	
49	FINDINGS:
50	1. This property is listed in the Amherst Historic District as a Non-Contributing property,
51	described as a late 19th century vernacular, greatly altered, 2-story with attached two-
52	car garage.
53 54	2. This property is also listed on the National Register of historic homes in Amherst as historic property No. 2.
54 55	3. The location of the proposed renovation is 75 yards from the street; however, the
56	renovation is facing the back of the property and not visible from Amherst Street.
57 58	4. The proposed renovations to the property have neighbor visibility and possible limited seasonal visibility from Main Street.
58 59	•
59 60	5. The proposed renovations to the property do not alter the original structure to the house but do alter one of its later additions.
61	6. The removal of the existing window is not original equipment and has no historical
62	value to the property.
63	7. It could be argued that French Doors are not an appropriate architectural style door for
64	a 19th century vernacular house, however, where this is not on the original house
65	structure, not visible from public view and on a later style connected addition -
66	compliance in architectural style has little effect on the integrity of the building.
67	8. The applicant's proposed renovation is in compliance with many sections of Article VI
68	for use of all wood materials and clever use of reclaimed doors.
69	
70	Jamie Ramsay noted that the proposed doors may even offer something more
71	attractive to the property.
72	
73	Bill Rapf joined the meeting remotely at 7:11pm.
74	
75	Voting: 6-0-0; Jamie Ramsay – aye, Chris Hall – aye, Chris Buchanan - aye, Doug
76	Chabinsky - aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Tracie Adams – aye; motion carried
77	unanimously.
78	
79	Jamie Ramsay explained to the applicant how the 30-day appeal process works in case the
80	Historic District Commission's decision was contested by an abutter or other interested party.
81	
82	2. CASE #: PZ14599-080421 – Doug & Martha Chabinsky (Owners & Applicants), 89
83	Boston Post Road, PIN #:017-047-000 – Request for approval to install
84	a whole house generator.
05	

85 Doug Chabinsky recused himself as the applicant of this case.86

APPROVED

87 Doug Chabinsky presented the case.

88

89 Doug Chabinsky explained that he has many exterior plantings and trees on his property. He is 90 trying to place the whole house generator in an area that does not do much damage to existing 91 plantings. He stated that the generator is proposed to be located approximately 5-6' off the house, near the panel, toward the front of the house. He will extend the gardens in this area to 92 93 encompass the generator and add plantings to shield it. The intent is to put the gas tanks near the 94 fence, toward the back of the yard. He is willing to put up a fence in this area that mimics the 95 picket fence to mitigate the view. There is not much visibility of the generator area now, but with 96 additional plantings, it will be shielded from the street view. If he cannot tuck the gas tanks far 97 enough behind the bushes, he will add in the fencing to shield the tanks. He added that 91 and 99 98 Boston Post Road have the exact same generator and are not shielded from the road. 6 Sunset 99 also had the same generator with no shielding and is visible from the road. 100 101 In response to a question from Chris Hall, Doug Chabinsky stated that the generator on 91 102 Boston Post Road will be on the same side as his proposed generator. They were notified of his 103 proposal. 104 105 There were no further questions or comments from the Commission. 106 107 There was no comment from the public either in-person or via Zoom. 108 109 FINDINGS: 110 1. This property is listed in the Amherst Historic District as a Contributing property, 111 described as a Full Cape House circa 1820, 1 1/2 story with attached shed and barn. 112 2. This property is also listed on the National Register of historic homes in Amherst as historic property No. 47. 113 114 3. The location of the proposed renovations is 20-30 yards from the street. 115 4. The proposed renovations to the property are highly visible from public access 116 however mitigated by shrubbery and fencing. 117 5. There are many generators in the Village approved for the applicants intended purpose 118 and there is nothing out of the ordinary with this application. 119 6. The applicant's proposed renovation is in compliance with Article VI Section H -120 Outdoor mechanical equipment shall be installed in locations which create the least 121 disturbance to the historical appearance of the building, and which involve the 122 minimum alteration to its structural integrity. Landscape buffers may be used. 123 124 Chris Hall moved that the application be accepted as presented. Seconded by Tracie 125 Adams. 126 127 **Discussion:** 128 In response to a question from Chris Buchanan, Doug Chabinsky stated that the 129 plantings will be evergreen in nature.

130

APPROVED

Voting: 5-0-0; Jamie Ramsay – aye, Chris Hall – aye, Chris Buchanan - aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Tracie Adams – aye; motion carried unanimously..

Jamie Ramsay explained to the applicant how the 30-day appeal process works in case the
 Historic District Commission's decision was contested by an abutter or other interested party.

137 Doug Chabinsky retook his seat on the Commission.

138

136

133

139 3. CASE #: PZ14600-080421 – Lawrence & Deborah Gebhardt (Owners 140 Applicants), 132 Amherst Street, PIN #: 005-094-000 – Request for approval to 141 replace vinyl windows, vinyl siding and aluminum trim coverings.

142 Lawrence and Deborah Gebhardt presented the case.

143

144 Lawrence Gebhardt explained that he is proposing to redo the kitchen. There was previous 145 approval received for this project from the Commission back in 2004, but the project did not 146 carry forward at that time. The proposal is to replace vinyl windows in this section of the house. 147 These are not historic windows, but were probably added in the 1990's. These do not match the 148 rest of the house. He believes each set of windows are actually two windows molded together. 149 They do not have the divided lights, as seen in the rest of the windows of the house. Some of the 150 casing trim has been removed and covered with aluminum coverings. The windows on the whole 151 house are missing much of the original detail. He has restored some of the windows on the back 152 of the house as part of the original approval. He would like to do this to the whole house, but this 153 proposal is for just the area around the kitchen. In not knowing the original approval would 154 expire, he previously ordered the windows for this project which are currently in the garage. The 155 windows will fit better into the openings, as they are much closer to the original sized windows 156 of the house. He is also planning to remove the vinyl shutters existing on the house and not 157 replace them, as he does not believe they would have been original to the house. He is willing to 158 put on wooden shutters, if needed. The vinyl siding will be replaced with wooden siding of 4" 159 exposure to match the parts that have been renovated already. 160 161 In response to a question from Jamie Ramsay, Lawrence Gebhardt noted that the house is 162 currently 75% sided in vinyl and was completely sided that way when he purchased it. 163 164 In response to a question from Doug Chabinsky, Lawrence Gebhardt stated that there is actually 165 wooden siding underneath the vinyl siding. If the existing wooden siding was in good condition 166 he would consider keeping it, but it has mostly been rotted and needed to be replaced. The intent 167 is to get rid of all the vinyl. Lawrence Gebhardt stated that he will need to build window trims

and sills. The trim on the garage matches that of the house, based on what has been uncovered so

- 169 far. 170
- 171 Lawrence Gebhardt stated that the one window on the back of the house is not visible from the
- 172 road. He is planning to put in an insert for this window to match as closely as possible.
- 173

APPROVED

In response to a question from Doug Chabinsky, Lawrence Gebhardt stated that the replacement
 windows are JELD-WEN, all-wood, simulated divided light. He is trying to keep the aspect ratio
 of the lights the same as the others in the house.

- 177178 Jamie Ramsay stated that the house was in pristine condition when he was growing up in Town179 but went through a period of neglect.
- 181 In response to a question from Chris Buchanan, Lawrence Gebhardt stated that the bottom half of 182 the windows, both replacement and existing on the house, do not have divided lights.
- 184 In response to a question from Chris Hall, Lawrence Gebhardt stated that he has not been able to 185 find any historic photos of his house to refer to.
- 187 There was no public comment at this time either in-person or via Zoom.
- 188

180

183

186

191

192

193

194

202

203

204

205

189 Tracie Adams and Bill Rapf noted that they believe this proposal will add to the property.190

FINDINGS:

- 1. This property is listed in the Amherst Historic District as a Non-Contributing property described in our Historic District inventory report as a rare example of the Tudor style home circa early 20th century.
- 195 2. This property is NOT listed on the National Register of historic homes.
- 196 3. The location of the proposed renovation is approx. 30-50 yards from the street.
- 197 4. The proposed renovations to the property are highly visible from public access.
- 198 5. The proposed renovations were already previously approved by Amherst HDC back in 2004.
- 200
 201
 6. This application is a fine example of restoring a non-compliant, non-contributing property back to compliance both in style and choice of materials.
 - 7. The applicant's proposed renovation is in compliance with all sections of Article VI for use and removal of all synthetic materials, conformity of architectural style, and retaining unique elements such as moldings and window lights.
- 206 Chris Hall moved to accept the application as presented. Seconded by Jamie
 207 Ramsay.
- Voting: 6-0-0; Jamie Ramsay aye, Chris Hall aye, Chris Buchanan aye, Doug
 Chabinsky aye, Tom Grella aye, and Tracie Adams aye; motion carried
 unanimously.
- 211212 Jamie Ramsay explained to the applicant how the 30-day appeal process works, in case the
- 213 Historic District Commission's decision was contested by an abutter or other interested party.

214

215 **OTHER BUSINESS:**

216 **1. Additional 5 School Street follow-up with Greg Mattison**

APPROVED

217 Greg Mattison explained that, from the last Commission meeting, he is seeking an opinion of the 218 Commission and a course that they can follow, regarding some proposed changes to the project. 219 These changes are requested through the cost estimating and safety concerns from the owners of 220 accessing their garage from Boston Post Road. The challenge is to stay within the building 221 footprint and with the existing, approved elevations. The owners are hoping not to lose focus on 222 the Commission's previous concerns regarding the project. In order to reduce the square footage 223 cost, he has simplified the exterior from the previous design. He has kept the exterior as is and 224 made the interior original kitchen of the bank the new kitchen for the house. The new proposal 225 includes a survey of the lot from Sandford Surveying & Engineering, Regarding the safety 226 concern for the garage, he has reduced the square footage of the back of the building and moved 227 it so that there is a turnaround. The building has the same square footage as proposed, but the 228 intention is to allow for a turnaround before entering Boston Post Road. 229 230 In response to a question from Doug Chabinsky, Greg Mattison explained that the back porch 231 will mimic the front area but is still up for discussion. There may just be a portico over steps in 232 the back, so as to not compete with the front porch. 233 234 Greg Mattison explained that the first floor will contain a mudroom and the existing kitchen of 235 the original bank. There is a cost reduction in this revised plan. 236 237 In response to a question from Chris Hall, Greg Mattison stated that the windows in the main 238 house will be fixed, and the second addition will be raised up, as approved in the original 239 application. The third addition will be eliminated, and the back porch will mirror the front porch. 240 241 The Commissioners agreed that this will look better than the original proposal. Doug Chabinsky 242 stated that the separation of the garage reduces the overall massing, is an improvement to the 243 project and will be safer. Jamie Ramsay stated that the hip roof on the garage is enough to make 244 it stand out and compliment the entire property. 245 246 Chris Buchanan stated that the proposal seems to comply with Article VII. 247 248 In response to a question from Chris Hall, Greg Mattison stated that the garage is moving left of 249 the existing driveway and turning 90 degrees. In response to a question from Chris Hall, Greg 250 Mattison stated the driveway footprint is approximately 3' larger all the way around than the 251 prior design. This will be a zoning item to address as it is nonconforming in its location on the lot 252 which Greg Mattison will deal with once the aesthetics are addressed. Currently the doors on the 253 garage are overhead sliders, which will be replaced with something similar. There are two 254 proposed three-over-three windows for the new garage. 255 256 Chris Hall raised the concern to his fellow Commissioners, whether there is any necessity for photography or documentation of the items to be demolished on the additions. In response, Jamie 257 258 Ramsey suggested the applicant reach out to Will Ludt, Chair of the Heritage Commission. Greg 259 Mattison stated he will plan to provide documentation, photographs, and site survey of the

260 portions of the site to be demolished.

261	
262	Jamie Ramsay noted that adding to the garage square footage makes sense. Greg Mattison noted
263	that the proposed garage is 24'x24'.
264	
265	Greg Mattison stated that the Commission seems amenable to the porch size.
266	
267	In response to a question from Jamie Ramsay, Greg Mattison stated that he is still looking into if
268	there is any issue on this property with the existing leach field. Greg Mattison stated that Natasha
269	Kypfer forwarded DES information on to him, noting the leach field has not yet been located.
270	Rypter for warded DED information on to min, noting the federi field has not yet been foeded.
270	Doug Chabinsky stated that he believes this amendment to the original approval can be
272	submitted.
272	submitted.
273	Timothy Yarnall, 5 School Street, property owner, joined the meeting. He explained that the
274	septic was serviced 5-6 years ago, and the assessment was that there is a stone pit. One of the
276	prior owners believes there may be a cistern near the garage in the backyard. This will need to be determined.
277	determined.
278	Cree Mattinen stated he will submit a formal submission
279	Greg Mattison stated he will submit a formal submission.
280	
281	2. Minutes: July 15, 2021
282	Deve Chabin devenue d'a commerce de minutes of Inde 15, 2021, commerce de Louis
283	Doug Chabinsky moved to approve the minutes of July 15, 2021, as presented. Jamie
284	Ramsay seconded.
285	Voting: 4-0-2; Jamie Ramsay – aye, Chris Hall – abstain, Chris Buchanan - abstain,
286	Doug Chabinsky - aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Tracie Adams – aye; motion carried.
287	
288	3. Any other business
289	
290	Natasha Kypfer noted that the Commission should discuss appointment of officers, as it was
291	listed on top of the agenda.
292	
293	Chris Buchanan moved to nominate Jamie Ramsay as Chair. Seconded by Tracie
294	Adams.
295	Voting: 6-0-1; Jamie Ramsay – abstain, Chris Hall – aye, Chris Buchanan - aye,
296	Doug Chabinsky - aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Tracie Adams – aye; motion carried.
297	
298	Chris Buchanan moved to nominate Chris Hall as Vice Chair. Seconded by Tracie
299	Adams.
300	Voting: 6-0-1; Jamie Ramsay – aye, Chris Hall – abstain, Chris Buchanan - aye,
301	Doug Chabinsky - aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Tracie Adams – aye; motion carried.
302	
303	Chris Buchanan stated that the Commission met in the Spring to discuss proposed regulation
304	changes. There were three items listed as priority items at that time, along with some secondary

305	items. Natasha Kypfer agreed stating the initial discussion took place in May, and noted that
306	another work session has not been scheduled for this discussion.
307	
308	Chris Hall stated that there are two projects here; one project is to do administrative cleanup of
309	the regulations. The second thing to consider is the creation of "guideline documents" that
310	explain to owners what can be done and how the Commission would look upon it, not
311	regulations. This would be easy to create and put on the Town website to supplement the
312	regulations. This document could even explain the difference for Contributing versus Non-
313	Contributing properties within the district.
314	
315	Chris Buchanan agreed that there is a list of items in the current regulations that need to be
316	updated. He suggested this as the scope for another formal work session.
317	
318	Doug Chabinsky noted that, in filling out the application himself [for tonight's case on his
319	property], there were small inconsistencies that should be reviewed.
320	
321	Natasha Kypfer stated that the next meeting is September 16, 2021, and the deadline for
322	submissions is August 26, 2021. Jamie Ramsey suggested utilizing the regular meeting date for
323	the work session, or, if there are applications scheduled, perhaps another date in late September
324	could be utilized. Chris Buchanan suggested either September 23 or 30. The discussion ended
325	with Natasha Kypfer stating either herself, or Deb, would reach out to Jamie after the application
326	deadline to advise if and what applications were submitted for September.
327	
328	Chris Hall moved to adjourn at 8:16pm. Doug Chabinsky seconded.
329	Voting: 6-0-0; Jamie Ramsay – aye, Chris Hall – aye, Chris Buchanan - aye, Doug
330	Chabinsky - aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Tracie Adams – aye; motion carried
331	unanimously.
332	
333	
334	
335	Respectfully submitted,
336	Kristan Patenaude
337	
338	Minutes approved: October 21, 2021