TOWN OF AMHERST Historic District Commission Meeting

January 19, 2023 **APPROVED** In attendance: Doug Chabinsky – Acting Chair, Martha Chabinsky (remote), Chris Buchanan, 1 2 Tom Quinn – Planning Board Ex-Officio [7:03 p.m.]; Tom Grella – Board of Selectmen Ex-3 Officio, Nicole Crawford (alternate), Sarah Chastain (alternate). 4 5 Staff present: Nic Strong, Community Development Director; Kristan Patenaude, Recording 6 Secretary (remote) 7 8 Doug Chabinsky, Acting Chair, called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. He introduced all 9 members present. 10 11 Nicole Crawford sat for Tom Quinn until his later arrival. 12 13 **PUBLIC HEARING(S)** 14 15 1. CASE #: PZ16737-121322 – Marshall Strickland (Owner & Applicant); 16 158 Amherst Street, PIN #: 018-001-000 - Request for approval to construct a 17 temporary handicap style access ramp in front of the house. 18 Doug Chabinsky read and opened the case. 19 20 Tom Grella recused himself from this item. Sarah Chastain sat for Tom Grella on this item. 21 22 Chris Buchanan moved that the application is complete and there is no regional 23 impact. Seconded by Nicole Crawford. 24 Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky – aye; Doug Chabinsky – aye; Chris Buchanan – 25 ave; Sarah Chastain – ave; and Nicole Crawford – ave. 5-0-0; motion approved 26 unanimously. 27 28 Marshall Strickland explained that he installed a handicapped ramp, due to the fact that he has 29 had several injuries which hamper his locomotion. He had trouble accessing his home using the 30 front steps, so he hired a contractor to place a ramp which gradually inclines up to the front door. 31 While contemplating the ramp, he decided to submit an application to install something a bit 32 more rugged, such as a wheelchair accessible ramp. 33 34 Tom Quinn entered the meeting. 35 36 Chris Buchanan stated that he views this as a health and safety issue. As the application is for a 37 temporary structure only, it seems rather simple. 38 39 Tom Quinn confirmed that a variance for this item was applied for through the Zoning Board of 40 Adjustment and approved. He stated that he would, thus, agree with Chris Buchanan's 41 comments. He asked if the Commission is okay with the structure being unpainted.

42

APPROVED

- 43 Doug Chabinsky stated that the intention for the ramp is to assist the residents into the future,
- 44 until no longer needed. The Commission would like to request that the applicant paint the
- 45 railings and posts to match the trim of the house, to blend in a bit better. The trim color is white.
- 46 The applicant agreed to paint the ramp structure white.
- 47
- 48 Tom Quinn thanked the applicant for coming in for this after-the-fact approval.
- 4950 FINDINGS:
- 51 1. Noncontributing property
- 52 2. Temporary construction
- 53 3. Highly visible, but medically necessary
- 54

57

- 55Chris Buchanan moved to approve the application, on the understanding that it is a56temporary structure. Seconded by Tom Quinn.
- 58 **Discussion**:
- 59 **Doug Chabinsky requested that the motion be amended to include: "...temporary** 60 **structure to be used until no longer needed by any Strickland family member** 61 **residing in the property, or the house is sold, and that it shall be painted the color of** 62 **the trim of the house." Tom Quinn agreed to second this amendment.**
- 63
 64 Chris Buchanan amended the motion to approve the application, on the
 65 understanding that it is a temporary structure to be used until no longer needed by
 66 any Strickland family member residing in the property, or the house is sold, and
 67 that it shall be painted the color of the trim of the house. Seconded by Tom Quinn.
 68 Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky aye; Doug Chabinsky aye; Chris Buchanan –
 69 aye; Tom Quinn aye; Sarah Chastain aye; and Nicole Crawford aye. 6-0-0;
 70 motion approved unanimously.
- CASE #: PZ16738-121322 Timothy & Diane Lolatte (Owners & Applicants);
 131 Amherst Street, PIN #: 005-020-000 Request for approval to replace the driveway, two decks and one storm door.
- 75 Doug Chabinsky read and opened the case.
- 76
 77 Chris Buchanan moved that the application is complete as submitted and there is no
 78 regional impact. Seconded by Tom Quinn.
- Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky aye; Doug Chabinsky aye; Chris Buchanan –
 aye; Tom Grella aye; and Tom Quinn aye. 5-0-0; motion approved unanimously.
- 81

71

- 82 Timothy Lolatte stated that the existing driveway is original from 40 years ago. It has completely
- 83 failed. The proposal is to rip this up and replace it in the August timeframe. He believes that
- 84 there is some rot on the cedar decking, Thus, the proposal also includes removal and replacement

APPROVED

- of the two decks. The existing storm door opens up quite differently than most doors and doesnot seal properly. This also needs to be replaced.
- 86 87
- Tom Quinn noted that the two deck replacements are out of public view and being replaced inkind for the most part, with acceptable materials,
- 90
- 91 Chris Buchanan stated that he believes this is a very simple application.
- 9293 FINDINGS:
- 94 1. Noncontributing property
- 95 2. View of the work proposed is extremely limited, with the exception of the driveway
- 96 3. Like-kind replacement
- 97
- 98 Chris Buchanan moved to approve the application as submitted. Seconded by Tom
 99 Grella.
- 100Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky aye; Doug Chabinsky aye; Chris Buchanan –101aye; Tom Grella aye; and Tom Quinn aye. 5-0-0; motion approved unanimously.
- 102

103 Doug Chabinsky noted that there is a 20-day appeal period, during which time anyone can appeal 104 the Commission's decision, starting from the date of approval. Beginning work within this time

- 105 period is at the applicant's own risk.
- 106

In response to a question from Chris Buchanan, Timothy Lolatte stated that access to his house is
via Old Milford Road, even though the address is listed as Amherst Street. This could be because
of the way the door faces to the driveway. Doug Chabinsky noted that he has an address on
Boston Post Road and his driveway is on Sunset. Chris Buchanan suggested that both of them
consider a process with the Town regarding changing the addresses. Houses like this are hard to

find from a Fire Department perspective. It helps the Department to have an address that reflectsreality.

- 114
- 1153.CASE #: PZ16804-122922 Gerard Zimmer (Owner & Applicant); 6 Davis116Lane, PIN #: 005-096-002 Request for approval to remove and replace existing117front entry door, framing, trim, and cedar siding due to disrepair and rot.118
- 119 Doug Chabinsky read and opened the case.
- 120
 121 Tom Quinn moved that the application is complete and there is no regional
 122 impact. Seconded by Chris Buchanan.
 123 Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky aye; Doug Chabinsky aye; Chris
 124 Buchanan aye; Tom Grella aye; and Tom Quinn aye. 5-0-0; motion
 125 approved unanimously.
 126
- 127 Gerard Zimmer stated that this property is located on Davis Lane and is a noncontributing
- 128 property. The house was built in 1973 and some rotted boards around the entranceway need to be

129 replaced. While considering that work, he decided to also consider upgrading the regular door

130 and storm door. He is considering the use of more energy efficient materials. The proposal

131 includes removal of a couple of clapboards, to replace them with cedar clapboard. Currently,

132 there is moisture getting into the basement, which could eventually compromise the beams in the 133 basement.

134

135 Martha Chabinsky noted that pictures of two different doors were submitted for this application,

136 and she wondered which one is preferred. She stated that the Andersen door looks to be

- 137 appropriate, but the JELD-WEN does not. James McMahon, the applicant's contractor, noted 138 that the Andersen door is a full light screen door, thus the raised panel door will be able to be
- 139 seen behind it. This is a storm door with one insert for the screen and one insert for the glass.
- 140

141 Martha Chabinsky stated that the JELD-WEN door appears to be metal. She asked if the lights in

- this door are acceptable to the Commission. James McMahon noted that the mullions are fixed 142
- 143 inside and out for this door.
- 144

In response to a question from Doug Chabinsky, James McMahon noted that he believes these 145

146 are sandwiched between the glass. He is not 100% sure, but believes they are fixed lights. Tom

147 Ouinn stated that he has this same door, and these are simulated divided light windows.

148

149 Tom Ouinn stated that, though this is a noncontributing property, the proposal is for a steel door

150 with simulated divided lights. He asked if the Commission is okay with this. Doug Chabinsky

stated that he is okay with the proposal for the type of property it is. It is difficult to get a quality 151

152 wood door.

153

154 James McMahon asked if it would be appropriate to use PVC instead of wood for the kick plate 155 under the door. Chris Buchanan noted that this is mostly invisible. James McMahon agreed that it is mostly invisible, with 7.5" showing. The PVC will have the exact same look as wood would 156 157 but will not be able to rot. He requested the ability to use PVC vinyl trim in this area. Chris 158 Buchanan stated that this would be a different question if it was for the siding of the house. The 159

request is for a single piece of PVC, presumably painted to look exactly like the rest of the trim. 160

This is located in a high rot area. For a property that is not listed on the National Register, it is

- 161 difficult to say this is not acceptable. James McMahon stated that any siding to be replaced will
- 162 be cedar. Under the door area is a hard place to prevent rot. Gerard Zimmer stated that this is the
- 163 third board in this area that he has needed to replace. 164

165 FINDINGS:

- 1. Noncontributing property 166
- 167 2. Highly visible/fairly setback from the road
- 168
- 169 Tom Grella moved to approve the application as presented, with the use of a PVC
- 170 kick plate. Seconded by Tom Quinn.
- 171 Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky – aye; Doug Chabinsky – aye; Chris Buchanan –
- aye; Tom Grella aye; and Tom Quinn aye. 5-0-0; motion approved unanimously. 172

173

- 174 Doug Chabinsky noted that there is a 20-day appeal period, during which time anyone can appeal 175 the Commission's decision, starting from the date of approval. Beginning work within this time 176 period is at the applicant's own risk. 177 178 **OTHER BUSINESS:** 179 4. Minutes: November 29, 2022 work session; December 6, 2022 work session; and 180 **December 15, 2022** 181 Tom Grella moved to approve the meeting minutes of the November 29, 2022, work 182 183 session, as submitted. Seconded by Chris Buchanan. 184 Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky – aye; Doug Chabinsky – aye; Chris Buchanan – 185 ave; Tom Grella – ave; and Tom Quinn – ave. 5-0-0; motion approved unanimously. 186 187 Tom Grella moved to approve the meeting minutes of the December 6, 2022, work session, as submitted. Seconded by Tom Quinn. 188 Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky – ave; Doug Chabinsky – ave; Chris Buchanan – 189 190 ave; Tom Grella – ave; and Tom Quinn – ave. 5-0-0; motion approved unanimously. 191 192 Tom Grella moved to approve the meeting minutes of December 15, 2022, as 193 submitted. Seconded by Tom Ouinn. 194 Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky – aye; Doug Chabinsky – aye; Chris Buchanan – 195 abstain; Tom Grella – aye; and Tom Quinn – aye. 4-0-1; motion approved. 196 197 5. **Continued Discussion on HDC process** 198 199 The Commission agreed to hold additional work sessions to discuss proposed changes to the 200 regulations on January 31, 2023, at 7:00 PM, and February 7, 2023, at 7:00 PM, if needed. 201 202 Doug Chabinsky stated that the Commission may want to consider how to restructure the 203 regulations so that the regulatory section is purely regulatory, and there can also be a section to 204 define the process and procedures. This was suggested by Nic Strong. He stated that the 205 Commission may also want to have an appendix with examples of information it would need for 206 those proposing to replace windows. This would include real life examples of the information the 207 Commission needs to be submitted with the application in order to make a ruling. This would 208 allow the Commission to also update the application form so that there is no ambiguity as to 209 what information is needed. 210 211 Doug Chabinsky noted that there is a mailbox in front of an historic house along Amherst Street 212 that does not conform to the regulations at all. It is ornate and that is one item strictly prohibited
- 213 in the regulations. Yet mailboxes do not require a building permit to install. The Commission
- 214 needs to consider how it wants to address similar issues. These items do have an impact on the
- 215 visual look of the Historic District. The current regulations are clear that mailboxes shall be

- 216 simple, with nothing ornate or carved, and should be wood. The Commission is not mandating
- wood but certainly looks for a plain and simple mailbox to not detract from the visual aspect.
- 218

219 Doug Chabinsky stated that the Commission may want to consider what it wants to do about pop 220 up, modern, mechanical appliances that appear outside of homes. Also, if an applicant commits 221 to screening an item, how the Commission will require the applicant to come back and prove that 222 has been done. These are procedural items that the Commission ought to consider. The 223 Commission also previously suggested having a waiver process. For example, in the previous 224 case this evening, the regulations say no vinyl anywhere, but the applicant was requesting a PVC 225 trim piece in one specific area that is prone to rot. This would have been a perfect item for a 226 waiver request. Waiver requests could make certain projects easier for homeowners, leading to 227 fewer midnight modifications.

228

229 Martha Chabinsky asked if there are any history or procedural rules for items that a previous

230 owner has not completed on a property, such as a mini split that was never shielded. Chris

Buchanan stated that, in the past, if an application was approved, there would be documentation

about the approval. Whether or not the house changes hands does not affect that.

233

Tom Quinn stated that, for projects of high visibility or high importance, the Commission could always request some sort of a bond on the project, or some amount put in escrow. Thus, even if the homeowner does not complete the conditions of approval, the Town would have the money to complete them. Martha Chabinsky stated that this is a good idea up front, but the Commission may need to consider what to do after the fact. This will continue to happen.

239

240 Chris Buchanan stated that the regulation updates currently being proposed may address some of 241 these issues. The Commission should discuss the edits as currently proposed. He would also like

to hear Nic Strong's impressions of the proposed changes, as he values her insights and

comments. Lastly, the Commission has a large to-do list of various items that it needs to decide

- how to implement. He has seen projects like this have mission creep and become major,
- regulatory overhauls that continue on and never end up being completed. He stated that, since
- joining the Commission in 2015/2016, these conversations have happened several times, but nochange has occurred.
- 248

Doug Chabinsky echoed these concerns. His goal is to submit the regulations for approval before
 the end of the first quarter of 2023. He believes this will allow for a 90% solution to the issues if

the waiver process is included. In a year or so, the Commission can then consider other minor

- 252 modifications to the regulations.
- 253

In response to a question from Tom Quinn, Nic Strong stated that the Commission can approveregulation changes with a ten-day notice for a public hearing.

256

Tom Quinn agreed that a document which is 90% more beneficial, is better than nothing. This will be an improvement and will improve the process, which is the most important thing.

APPROVED

- 259 Regulations are easy to change and update and this could be done on a more regular basis if the 260 Commission so chooses. 261 262 Doug Chabinsky stated that he wants to make adjustments based on Nic Strong's comments. He stated that he is considering 2023 as the year for the Commission to get an unambiguous set of 263 264 regulations to make the applicants' life easier with examples of the information the Commission 265 needs. It will also make things easier for the Community Development Office when applications 266 are submitted to review the checklist and determine if it is complete. 267 268 Chris Buchanan suggested that when the Commission meets, it discusses where things stand in 269 the process, to try to make progress through the document. He would also like to hear Nic 270 Strong's overall impressions, especially along the question of non-regulatory, suggestive 271 language. Finally, he would like the Commission to keep a running to do list of the items it wants
- to work on.
- 273
- 274 Doug Chabinsky stated that he would like to hear from Nic Strong first.
- 275

Nic Strong stated that she would like to make her comments at the first scheduled public work
session. Doug Chabinsky suggested that Commissioners read the email sent by Nic Strong with
her suggestions, prior to the work session.

279

Sarah Chastain asked about what issues have arisen in the past. Doug Chabinsky stated that
windows have been a large issue. Around 2008/2009, the Commission revised its regulations.
The regulations used to be very specific, but these now have more shades of gray. In the current
regulations, nowhere does it state that windows need to be all-wood. In fact, the only two
sections that specify materials are for all-wood fences and no vinyl siding. Other sections speak
to replacements being in sympathetic materials, to help maintain the historical integrity and
visual appeal.

287

Sarah Chastain stated that she understands that is the main mission, but sometimes the historical technology is not as efficient as current technology. Doug Chabinsky stated that this is part of the fine line that the Commission walks on. It does not help when the Commission does not have specific regulations. There was a specific case in which an applicant was applying to have

aluminum clad windows, with simulated divided lights. Those windows look very nice, but the

application was ultimately rejected. That applicant went to the Zoning Board of Adjustment with

- an appeal and that Board overturned the Commission's ruling. Unfortunately, this case led to two
- 295 Commissioners resigning. He stated that he would rather have the Commission have specific
- regulations. Even though the Commission is not a precedent-setting body, it is difficult to explain
- to applicants why things are being considered on a case-by-case basis.
- 298
- 299 Chris Buchanan noted that the Town has a National Historic district, meaning that it is subject to
- 300 guidance from the US Department of the Interior, but this does not deal with the regulations. The
- 301 regulations are completely municipal. Sometimes those regulations are consistent with the
- 302 national guidance; other times they are completely in contrast with them. The National Register

APPROVED

303 is what established that national element to this District, and there are certain houses that 304 contribute to that National Register. Those are contributing properties, which are supposed to be 305 subject to the highest degree of scrutiny. There is a question about what to do with 306 noncontributing properties. In the 1980's, the Historic District was expanded well beyond the 307 original district, adding many noncontributing buildings, which were then treated differently. 308 Many of those buildings likely should be considered contributing properties, as they do have 309 historic properties. Chris Buchanan stated that the Commission clearly has an interest in 310 windows, as these are such a prominent part of buildings and speak to the architectural character 311 of a home. However, there is almost nothing about them in the regulations. 312 313 In response to a question from Sarah Chastain regarding if the Commission can suggest certain 314 windows, Doug Chabinsky stated that the Commission cannot state window types, but can 315 specify materials, construction, massing, and other unique features that are architecturally 316 important. It is then up to the applicant to come in with a proposal that meets those requirements. 317 These requirements are unclear with the way the regulations are currently written. He would like the regulations to include an appendix with this type of information, including highlighted 318 319 windows spec sheets with the information needed. He would like to see applicants come in with 320 a 95% completed application, at least. 321 322 Tom Quinn stated that the proposed regulations, which Chris Buchanan had a lot of hand in 323 drafting, really do try to clarify a lot of this. 324 325 Doug Chabinsky agreed that these strike a nice balance between concern for preservation and 326 rehabilitation to maintain the character, while also giving the homeowner options. 327 328 Chris Buchanan stated that he read Nic Strong's edits and asked if there is any place for

329 suggestive language in the regulations at all. Nic Strong stated that there is not. This would be 330 too confusing. The Commission can provide helpful tips or examples in an appendix or separate 331 document. The Commission may want some of the current suggestive language to be regulations 332 so the wording will just need to be changed so that it is clear. Chris Buchanan stated that he does 333 not want to become overly prescriptive. Some gray areas and some interpretation is good, but he 334 asked how this can be made to work. Doug Chabinsky stated that this is done through the waiver 335 process. The Commission could consider these waiver requests based on anything but cost, as the 336 Commission does not make decisions based on cost to the homeowner. The Commission could 337 then consider that waiver request and what the applicant defines as the criteria that should be 338 considered. Nic Strong agreed that the waiver process works very well. For instance, the 339 Planning Board has a waiver provision written into the statute for the subdivision regulations. 340 Doug Chabinsky stated that the Commission just needs to decide how it will make the waiver 341 process work. Nic Strong noted that the Planning Board has specific language regarding waivers meeting the spirit and intent of the regulations. 342 343

- 344 Doug Chabinsky stated that he first wants to work to get the regulations to a point that a
- homeowner could pick them up, read them, and know exactly what they have to do to come to
- the Commission with a complete application that has a high probability of getting approved. The

TOWN OF AMHERST Historic District Commission Meeting

January 19, 2023

APPROVED

347 regulations back in 1997 were very clear about what could and could not be done. He wants to 348 get back to this process so that homeowners in the District feel better about the process, even if it 349 takes an additional step before getting their building permit. The Commission can help 350 homeowners to maintain the integrity of the Village, but it has to make this easy for people. 351 352 Nicole Crawford stated that she was previously assigned to review solar shingles and asked how 353 to get her summary out to Commissioners. Doug Chabinsky suggested that she send her 354 information to Nic Strong, so that it can be sent to the Commission prior to the next work 355 session. The Commission will need to start considering more modern appliances, mechanisms, 356 and mechanical systems into the future. He noted that, in his time on the Commission, there was 357 one applicant building a new house and proposing it to be a net zero house. The Commission 358 looked at the design and helped tweak it so that the structure still looked appropriate to the 359 District. This will keep coming up for new construction. 360 361 Tom Quinn stated that he shared Chris Buchanan's sentiment regarding being careful of mission 362 creep. The Commission has a number of items it has decided to try to clarify. He suggested 363 tweaking these items and not adding in anything else at this time. Doug Chabinsky agreed that 364 the solar topic will likely not be included on this round of regulation edits, but that the 365 Commission should start the research at least. Tom Quinn stated that he believes the 366 Commission should begin to lend some specificity to the regulations as soon as it possibly can. 367 368 Any other business 6. 369 370 Tom Grella moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:21pm. Seconded by Tom Quinn. 371 Roll Call Vote: Martha Chabinsky – aye; Doug Chabinsky – aye; Chris Buchanan – 372 aye; Tom Grella – aye; and Tom Quinn – aye. 5-0-0; motion approved unanimously. 373 374 Respectfully submitted, Kristan Patenaude 375 376 377 Minutes approved: February 16, 2023