1	In attendance: Doug Chabinsky – Acting Chair, Martha Chabinsky (remote), Tom Grella –			
2	Board of Selectmen Ex-Officio, Nicole Crawford – alternate, Tom Quinn, and Bill Glenn –			
3	alternate.			
4	Staff present: Nic Strong (Community Development Director) and Kristan Patenaude (Recordin			
5	Secretary) (remote)			
6				
7 8	Doug Chabinsky, Acting Chair, called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.			
9 10	Nicole Crawford sat for Chris Buchanan.			
11	PUBLIC HEARINGS:			
12 13 14 15 16	<ol> <li>CASE #: PZ17836-090523 – Victoria &amp; Michael Parisi, 3 Church Street, PIN #: 017- 075-000 – Request for approval to replace windows that are non-original with all-wood windows.</li> </ol>			
10 17 18	Doug Chabinsky read and opened the case.			
19	Tom Quinn moved no regional impact, and to accept the application as complete.			
20	Seconded by Tom Grella.			
21	Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye,			
22	Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.			
23				
24	Victoria Parisi explained that the front part of the house has original windows, which are 6/9			
25	panes. The back of the house has non-original windows that are 12/12 panes. The back and the			
26	front windows also have different lock styles. The proposal is to replace the non-original 12/12			
27	windows in the back of the house with all-wood 6/9 construction windows. The windows will			
28	then all look the same from the outside. The proposed windows have simulated divided lights			
29	with permanent muntins and be all-clad.			
30				
31	Doug Chabinsky noted that the muntins will be 5/8", which will match the original windows.			
32				
33	FINDINGS:			
34	1. Contributing property			
35	2. Moderate visibility of the proposed work from the public way			
36	3. Proposed windows to match the style and construction of original windows on the house,			
37	providing for a uniform appearance.			
38				
39	Tom Grella moved to approve the application as submitted. Seconded by Tom			
40	Quinn.			
41	Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye,			
42	Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.			
43				

44	2. CASE #: PZ17837-090523 – Christina Ferrari & Timothy Yarnall (Owners) &
45	Crossroads Contracting – Josh Bishop (Applicant); 5 School Street, PIN #: 017-080-
46	000 – Request for approval to demolish and removal of failing rear building structures
47	and reconstruction of building assemblies.
48	
49	Doug Chabinsky read and opened the case.
50	
51	Tom Quinn moved no regional impact, and to accept the application as complete.
52	Seconded by Martha Chabinsky.
53	
54	Discussion:
55	In response to a question from Tom Grella regarding a list of abutters, Nic Strong
56	stated that this item was submitted to Staff and included in the packet.
57	
58	Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye,
59	Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
60	
61	Josh Bishop, Crossroads Contracting, explained that this project was placed on pause due to
62	COVID-19, and is being resubmitted at this time with some minor modifications. The proposal is
63	to tear off the old additions that were previously added to the back of the house. These old
64	additional structures are in disrepair. The proposal is to tear them down to the foundation,
65	remove most of the foundation for safety reasons, and build a new structure in a smaller footprint
66	that is more consistent with what the building looked like originally. The intention is to make the
67	property more cohesive and bring back the original aesthetics. On the Boston Post Road side of
68	the structure the second-floor windows are inconsistent. During a previous remodel, a bathroom
69	was installed, and a window got added and other windows were moved. This is not in line with
70	what was original to the house and will be restored. The existing garage leads directly onto
71	Boston Post Road without any turning area, making it difficult to navigate. The proposal includes
72	relocating the garage and turning it 90 degrees, to allow for space between it and the house.
73	Along with the addition, a porch is proposed on the backside of the house, which will match the
74	existing front porch. There will be interior remodeling to accommodate this project and to attach
75	the new structure.
76	
77 70	Timothy Yarnall noted that there was an approved plan from approximately 3-4 years ago. The
78 70	feedback received from the Commission during that process has been retained in the current
79 80	design, specifically about the addition being clearly subordinate to the original structure. There
80 81	was some discussion regarding the roof line during the original submittal. The roof line is being
81	maintained from the previously approved plan. The same materials from the previously approved
82	plan are also included.
83	

- 84 Doug Chabinsky asked if the intention is to extend the current brick structure along the rest of
- the back of the house. Josh Bishop stated that this is not being proposed at this time, though it
- 86 was in a prior plan. The proposal is to remove more of the foundation at this time. An

#### APPROVED

engineering review found structural complications, so for safety purposes the whole back façadeis now proposed to be cedar clapboards. There will be no brick on the back or the sides of the

89 smaller structure. Doug Chabinsky noted that this is a significant change from the previous

- 90 proposal.
- 91

92 Timothy Yarnall noted that the proposal still includes mixed materials just on different parts of

93 the house. The prior plan was completed before the engineering review.

94

95 Doug Chabinsky asked about the existing windows in the small brick structure. The lower ones

- 96 were original windows. Josh Bishop stated that there are four windows in that structure, and
- 97 these are proposed to be replaced with Pella wood-interior, clad-exterior windows. Doug
- 98 Chabinsky asked if the new windows be the same size as the existing ones in the brick structure.
- Josh Bishop stated that the first-floor windows are larger than the second-floor windows in the
- 100 existing structure. The second-floor windows will become a little closer to the same size as the
- 101 existing windows and the windows on the first floor will be the same size.
- 102
- 103 Doug Chabinsky noted that the proposed windows are aluminum clad, which is not allowed.
- 104 Pella makes the exact same window all-wood. Josh Bishop stated that he was aware of the
- 105 option, but thought the proposed windows might be acceptable, as one cannot tell the difference
- 106 from the street. Doug Chabinsky stated that this area of the property is close enough to the street
- 107 and this is a very significant building. The Commission can discuss this in greater detail.
- 108
- 109 Doug Chabinsky noted that the proposed windows have a grill dimension of 7/8" but the other
- windows on the structure have 5/8" muntins. A 5/8" muntin was more typical to the time period.
  Josh Bishop agreed that this would be an easy change.
- 112
- 113 Tom Quinn asked if the part of the building to be demolished is currently brick. Josh Bishop 114 stated that this is currently mixed material, with some brick and some clapboard.
- 115
- 116 Doug Chabinsky stated that it was mentioned on the site walk that on the side between this
- 117 property and the neighboring property, there will be a doghouse entrance to the basement.
- 118 Timothy Yarnall explained that the Ludts are the neighbors that will be seeing this. Doug
- 119 Chabinsky noted that this proposal is to demolish a historic structure and asked what
- 120 documentation the Town and the Heritage Commission would like to have. Will Ludt, 3 School
- 121 Street and Chair of the Heritage Commission, explained that the Heritage Commission is
- 122 requesting photos of the structure to be demolished, including any drawings that describe the
- 123 structure.
- 124
- 125 Tom Quinn asked about the structural issue. Josh Bishop stated that this is an existing granite
- 126 foundation. There is cracking going through it in several areas which the engineer felt was
- 127 beyond repair, especially as the proposal includes building onto this area. The proposal is to save
- 128 a component of this structure next to the existing house to prevent structural complications with

129 130	the house foundation. Tom Quinn asked if the engineer stated that the back portion could not be saved. Josh Bishop agreed that the recommendation was to replace it as much as possible.
131	
132	Tom Quinn asked how different in size the proposed structure closest to the house is than the
133	existing. Timothy Yarnall stated that the proposed footprint is smaller than the existing. Doug
134	Chabinsky explained that the small brick portion will be extended a bit. The wooden addition
135	that extends past the porch will be removed. Josh Bishop explained that there will be a larger gap
136	between the garage and the house from Boston Post Road.
137	
138	Doug Chabinsky stated that this proposal better separates structures and reduces the overall
139	massing. This proposal improves the overall appearance from the original plan.
140	
141	Tom Quinn stated that he is concerned with this proposal, due to visibility of the structure. This
142	proposal includes removal of part of a brick structure and replacement with a modern structure in
143	a highly visible location. Doug Chabinsky stated that the original plan included raising the roof
144	of the brick structure, placing clapboard there, and rebuilding the other wooden structure. The
145	new plan is scaled back due to the proposed foundation work. Without the foundation work, this
146	would still be half brick, half clapboard.
147	
148	In response to a question from Tom Quinn, Doug Chabinsky noted that the previous plan
149	expired. The only difference with this plan is the major foundation work, the razing of the brick
150	structure, and a new structure with clapboard on the back.
151	
152	Tom Quinn stated that he would be more comfortable with this proposal if he could review the
153	report from the engineer. Josh Bishop stated that he could submit this report. Martha Chabinsky
154	agreed with Tom Quinn.
155	
156	Tom Grella asked if there is a basement below the area to be demolished. Josh Bishop stated that
157	there is a crawl space under half of the structure, and a basement under the other half. Neither of
158	these connect to the existing house basement. This proposal will also not create that access. The
159	original house, for fire safety reasons due to being a bank, has a double brick firewall through the
160	middle of it which continues from the tip of the roof to the bottom of the basement.
161	
162	Bill Glenn asked about Tom Quinn's concerns. Tom Quinn stated that his concern is with
163	preservation of the existing structure. This is a contributing property that is very visible from the
164	road.
165	
166	Doug Chabinsky asked when the second brick structure was built. Josh Bishop stated that the
167	original structure was built in 1806. It is unclear when the three additions were built.
168	
169	FINDINGS:
170	1. Contributing property
171	2. Very prominent and significant in the center of the Village.

- 172 3. The proposal is a continuation with some modifications from a previously approved
- 173 application.
- 174 4. Massing of the addition and the spacing of the garage are appropriate and do not overwhelm
- the primary structure.
- 176 5. The addition of the porch at the back of the structure will mimic the front porch, but in a
- 177 smaller scale, adding some continuity.
- 178 6. The proposed materials for construction are all-natural, all-wood, as opposed to brick.
- 179 7. The proposed windows should be changed from aluminum-clad to all-wood, with 5/8"180 muntips
- 180 muntins.
- 181 8. The garage design is appropriate but needs to be all-wood.
- 182 9. The proposed garage doors are an appropriate style, and the design of the garage is consistent
- 183 with the overall design of the rest of the property.
- 184
- 185 Bill Glenn stated that, regarding the preservation of the brick structure, the reconstruction may be 186 more aesthetically pleasing as a single material than a mixed material, as it currently is.
- 187
- 188 Tom Grella asked if the proposed driveway will be cobblestone leading into asphalt. Josh Bishop
- stated that this is correct. There was discussion regarding where the cobblestone will start on theproperty.
- 191
- 192 Tom Quinn stated that his concern is that the proposal is to tear down a structure that is highly
- 193 visible and has some historical significance based on the fact that the foundation is not
- 194 structurally sound. He would like evidence on that point before voting on this item. Doug
- 195 Chabinsky suggested an approval with conditions, pending receipt and review of the engineer's
- report. Tom Quinn stated that he would like to see the report before voting on this item. Tom
- 197 Grella agreed that it would be nice to have the report before voting. Martha Chabinsky agreed.
- 198

210

- Josh Bishop stated that he would try to obtain the report this evening. The Commission discussed tabling this item until later in the meeting in hopes of receiving the report
- 200 tabling this item until later in the meeting in hopes of receiving the report.
- 202 Doug Chabinsky moved to table this item to later in the meeting. Seconded by Tom
   203 Quinn.
   204 Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky aye, Martha Chabinsky aye, Tom Quinn aye,
- 205 **Tom Grella ave, and Nicole Crawford ave; Motion carried 5-0-0.**
- 206
  207
  3. CASE #: PZ17847-090723 Timothy & Ashley Collins (Owners & Applicants); 9
  208
  209 Davis Lane, PIN #: 017-011-000 Request for approval to build a 12'x18' shed on the property.
- 211 Doug Chabinsky read and opened the hearing.
- 212
  213 Tom Quinn moved to accept the application as complete and that there is no
  214 regional impact. Seconded by Tom Grella.

215	Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye,
216	Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
217	
218	Timothy Collins explained that the proposal is to construct a 12'x18' shed in the corner of the
219	property. This would be set in the corner approximately 20' in front of the raspberry bushes and
220	20' from the southern property line. The shed would not include shutters, as they are not
221	functional.
222	
223	Doug Chabinsky asked about the proposed window material. Timothy Collins stated that these
224	are not currently all-wood windows. They are proposed to be clad windows and it is unclear if
225	there is an opportunity to swap out the window material.
226	
227	In response to a question from Doug Chabinsky, Timothy Collins stated that the shed is proposed
228	to have double doors, and these will face the northern side of the property.
229	
230	Doug Chabinsky noted that the proposed dormer with the window lights in the top is out of
231	character with sheds in the Village. This will be very visible. Timothy Collins stated that this is a
232	preference but can be removed.
233	
234	In response to a question from Martha Chabinsky, Timothy Collins stated that the double doors
235	are currently spec'd as a composite material.
236 237	Tom Quinn stated that the shed windows and doors should be consistent with the requirements
237	Tom Quinn stated that the shed windows and doors should be consistent with the requirements for houses. Martha Chabinsky agreed.
238	Tor nouses. Martina Chaomsky agreed.
239	Nicole Crawford asked if there will be a ramp on the front of the shed. Timothy Collins stated
240	that there will not.
242	
243	Tom Quinn stated that this structure is proposed to be almost the size of a one car garage and
244	will be highly visible. This is why the windows and doors should be all-wood. Doug Chabinsky
245	stated that he agrees with the windows, but the Commission has allowed garage doors to be
246	something other than wood, especially ones that are exposed to the weather. Martha Chabinsky
247	asked if all-wood windows and doors are available through Reed's. Timothy Collins stated that
248	they likely are.
249	
250	In response to a question from Nicole Crawford, Timothy Collins stated that the intention is to
251	paint the shed.
252	1
253	Doug Chabinsky stated that the Commission may prefer the shed if the dormer was removed, and
254	the windows were all-wood. Timothy Collins stated that this would not be his preference but is
255	not unreasonable.
256	
257	<u>FINDINGS:</u>

258 259 260	<ol> <li>Non-contributing property</li> <li>Highly visible from the public way</li> <li>Window material needs to be all-wood, and the proposed dormer needs to be removed</li> </ol>	
261 262 263 264 265 266		Doug Chabinsky moved to approve the application for a 12'x18' shed, provided that the dormer is removed and the windows visible from the road are all-wood with 5/8" muntins. Seconded by Tom Grella. Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
267 268 269 270 271	4.	CASE #: PZ17849-090823 – Michelle Huxtable (Owner & Applicant); 5 Old Jailhouse Road, PIN #: 017-072-000 – Request for approval to build a 12'x16'garden shed
272 273	Doug	Chabinsky read and opened the hearing.
274 275 276 277		Tom Quinn moved to accept the application as complete and that there is no regional impact. Seconded by Tom Grella. Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
278 279 280 281		noted that the applicant was not available at this time. The Commission discussed tabling em until the applicant was available.
282 283 284 285		Doug Chabinsky moved to table this item to the end of the meeting or until the applicant was available. Seconded by Tom Grella. Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
286 287 288	<u>OTHI</u>	ER BUSINESS:
288 289 290	1.	Discussion of complaint re: sign and dumpster at 110 Boston Post Road, and Moulton's
291 292 293 294	Marke	Chabinsky explained that the discussion is regarding dumpsters and signage at Moulton's t and the apartment building. It was noted that the proponent for this item was not ble at this time.
295 296	2.	Adoption of the HDC Rules of Procedure
290 297 298 299		ommission discussed the draft Rules of Procedure. Tom Grella asked that these be yed by Town Counsel.
300		Tom Grella moved to table this item to the next meeting. Seconded by Tom Quinn.

301 302		Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye, Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
303		
304	3.	Minutes: August 17, 2023
305		
306		Tom Grella moved to approve the meeting minutes of August 17, 2023, as
307		submitted. Seconded by Tom Quinn.
308		Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye,
309		Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – abstain; Motion carried 5-0-0.
310		
311	4.	Any other business:
312		
313	Nicole	crawford noted that the proponent for the discussion regarding a complaint about a sign
314	and du	Impster at 110 Boston Post Road, and Moulton's, stated that he did not feel he should have
315	to con	he to the meeting for a complaint, and that it is up to the Commission to enforce these types
316	of iten	ns. Doug Chabinsky stated that it would have been nice for the proponent to be present in
317	order t	to ask additional questions. He stated that he reviewed the dumpster at the apartment
318	buildi	ng and noted that it has limited visibility. He stated that he believes the signage should
319	have b	een approved but it is unclear how long it has been there. In 2018, when Moulton's went
320	before	the Commission to replace the back loading door, there was a discussion regarding
321	fencin	g in the dumpsters, but it was noted that the dumpsters are part of the Town's easement
322	right c	f way and so they could not be fenced in. The new owners have new dumpsters and are
323	-	a much better job of keeping the trash contained. Doug Chabinsky asked how the
324	U	nission feels about the dumpster at the apartment complex.
325		
326	Marth	a Chabinsky noted that, if a fence cannot be installed because of the right of way, there
327		e other fences in the Village that are also on that land. Doug Chabinsky stated that he is
328	•	on that, but the dumpsters at Moulton's are right on the edge of the roadway and a fence
329		them would border on the street. Martha Chabinsky asked why the dumpsters cannot be
330		in on the right of way. Doug Chabinsky stated that this is unclear. Tom Quinn asked why
331		sters are allowed on the Town right of way. Martha Chabinsky assumed that this could be
332	-	se there is no other place for them on the property.
333		
334	Tom (	Quinn stated that he has heard a few complaints regarding the apartment dumpster, as it is
335		ed very early in the morning. Doug Chabinsky stated that this is not within the Commission
336		w. He asked if the Commission could inform the owner to request the trash company not
337		ne so early. Nic Strong stated that this can be requested, but most trash companies have a
338		ite, and this is not a Town issue. Doug Chabinsky stated that a noise ordinance in Town
339		alleviate some of these issues.
340	courd	
341	Marth	a Chabinsky stated that a fence would be more pleasing, but this would have to be done by
342		vner. Tom Quinn stated that, if the Commission is going to ask the apartment to screen
343		umpster, then Moulton's should be asked as well. Tom Grella suggested screening and

344	moving the sign closer to the dumpster. The Commission agreed to request the apartment owner
345	to make those changes through the Building Inspector.
346	
347	Doug Chabinsky asked who to discuss the issues at Moulton's with. Nic Strong suggested that
348	DPW could be involved, as this is a Town right of way. She agreed to contact the DPW Director
349	on this item and ask the Building Inspector to contact the owner of the apartment building and
350	ask them to screen the dumpster and move the sign further back to be less visible from the road.
351	
352	Martha Chabinsky asked if there has been any progress made on the enforcement items of
353	concern previously discussed by the Commission. Doug Chabinsky stated that the Building
354	Inspector is in the process of drafting letters that he will then track.
355	Deve Chalingher also defenses his comment of this time
356	Doug Chabinsky asked for public comment at this time.
357	Linda Kaisa asked shout the adaption of the UDC's revised regulations and if there had been one
358	Linda Kaisr asked about the adoption of the HDC's revised regulations and if there had been any other discussion with the Tourn Attorney about the "shalle" and "wille". Doug Chabingly,
359 360	other discussion with the Town Attorney about the "shalls" and "wills". Doug Chabinsky
361	explained that the regulations had been reviewed and were now adopted.
362	Linda Kaisar 6 Manahastar Dood stated that the Moulton's dumpstars have been usly for years
363	Linda Kaiser, 6 Manchester Road, stated that the Moulton's dumpsters have been ugly for years. Moulton's could install a fence and create a mural on it by the Town's school children. Doug
363 364	Chabinsky stated that this would be temporary and would not impinge upon a right of way.
365	Chabinsky stated that this would be temporary and would not implinge upon a right of way.
366	Linda Kaiser stated that she is unsure of the rules regarding temporary fencing, but there are still
367	tarps up between her property and an abutting property. Doug Chabinsky stated that he noticed
368	this and will speak to the Building Inspector about it.
369	this and will speak to the Dunding inspector about it.
370	Linda Kaiser stated that she reviewed the tax map from the Nashua Regional Planning
371	Commission and the Masotto's [abutter] drawings. There are very different lines on these two
372	documents when siting the buildings. Linda Kaiser stated that her deed states that the line from
373	Manchester Road up to the corner of the abutter's property is 143' but other records in the Town
374	show 133'. It is unclear which marker Meridian Land Services used when they created the
375	Masotto drawing, as the distance is not the same as on other documents. She has not reviewed
376	the underlying deeds to see when that lot was carved out. A survey should have been done before
377	this was brought before the Commission. Doug Chabinsky noted that these are valid concerns.
378	
379	2. CASE #: PZ17837-090523 – Christina Ferrari & Timothy Yarnall (Owners) &
380	Crossroads Contracting – Josh Bishop (Applicant); 5 School Street, PIN #: 017-080-
381	000 – Request for approval to demolish and removal of failing rear building structures and
382	reconstruction of building assemblies.
383	
384	Tom Grella moved to untable the 5 School Street discussion. Seconded by Nicole
385	Crawford.

386	Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye,
387	Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
388	
389	The Commission reviewed an e-mail from the engineer stating their recommendation regarding
390	the repair/replacement of the foundations, with attached drawings. Martha Chabinsky asked
391	about the report regarding the existing foundation. Tom Quinn agreed that he wanted information
392	regarding the problem and why it needs to be replaced. Josh Bishop stated that information is not
393	included in this email but can be obtained and sent to the Commission.
394	
395	Tom Grella noted that, after approval of this application, demolition could still take
396	approximately a month or so. The Commission could receive the information within that time.
397	Doug Chabinsky suggested that the Commission could approve the demolition of the wooden
398	structure only at this time. Tom Grella stated the same could apply to the garage. Timothy
399	Yarnall noted that it is yet unclear how much of each foundation will need to be removed, as this
400	is a complex demolition project. It is unclear yet how strong some of the existing walls are. He
401	does not want to sign off on items that are yet unknown.
402	
403	Doug Chabinsky suggested tabling this until October 19 <sup>th</sup> in order to receive the documents
404	requested by the Commission. The material proposed seems to be acceptable, but there is a
405	concern regarding razing this piece of history. He noted that the rotting clapboard areas would
406	need to be replaced. The brick portion predates any of the clapboard material, but both offer
407	some significance. Due to the visibility and significance of this building in the Village, it will
408	benefit everyone in the long run to table this in order to resolve any questions. The Commission
409	would like to speak with the engineer directly, if possible. Josh Bishop stated that the hope was
410	to begin this work by November 1 <sup>st</sup> .
411	
412	Josh Bishop asked about approval for the demolition of the garage, while tabling any other
413	demolition on the application until hearing from the engineer. The Commission agreed that this
414	was reasonable.
415	
416	Doug Chabinsky moved to approve the demolition and reconstruction of the garage
417	and table any other decisions regarding demolition and rebuilding of the rest of the
418	structure to October 19, 2023, at 7pm, at Town Hall. Seconded by Tom Quinn.
419	Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye,
420	Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
421	
422	The Commission retook discussion on the 5 Old Jailhouse Road item.
423	
424	Doug Chabinsky moved to table the 5 Old Jailhouse Road application to October
425	19, 2023, at 7pm, at Town Hall. Seconded by Tom Quinn.
426	Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye,
427	Tom Grella – aye, and Nicole Crawford – aye; Motion carried 5-0-0.
428	

#### APPROVED

429 Mike Emond addressed the Commission regarding Dunlop Farm at 141 Amherst Street. He

- 430 explained that he recently purchased the farm, and the intention is to put horses back on it. The
- 431 current fencing has some rotten timbers. He asked if the point of the non-contributing zone is to
- 432 appear the same as the contributing zone. Doug Chabinsky explained that vinyl siding would not
- be allowed on a 1920s house in the Village. Clapboard siding or Hardy board could be
- 434 considered. Materials should keep the nature of the Village consistent.
- 435
- 436 Mike Emond stated that he did not read about any accommodations for agricultural properties.
- 437 For example, the farm currently has metal bar gates on the stalls which is not historically
- 438 accurate but is an internal need. These are visible from the public way. Doug Chabinsky noted
- that the Commission would likely not make someone replace those with something appropriate
- to the 1920s, but instead replace it in kind. Mike Emond asked about materials that are no longer
- 441 available, such as all-wood garage doors. Doug Chabinsky stated that this is considered on a
- 442 case-by-case basis. The Commission tries to be reasonable and flexible. The regulations provide
- 443 guidelines but are not one-size-fits-all.
- 444
- 445 Mike Emond asked about submitting an application with different options included for changes 446 to the property. Doug Chabinsky stated that the Commission encourages conceptual discussions
- 447 with applicants. Mike Emond stated that he needs to get horses into the property before winter
- 448 and fences need to go in before the ground freezes. Doug Chabinsky suggested addressing the
- 449 initial concern regarding fencing at the next meeting, in order to get it taken care of more
- 450 quickly. Mike Emond stated that he would also like to discuss adding onto the barn manager's
- 451 apartment in the back of the property. He asked about having to paint the fence. Martha
- 452 Chabinsky noted that other recent applicants were made to paint their fences. Doug Chabinsky
- 453 stated that there is a difference between properties in the center of the Village common and
- 454 pressure treated fence on a farm. Martha Chabinsky stated that this farm is not that far out of the455 Village.
- 455 456
- In response to a question from Doug Chabinsky, Linda Kaiser stated that her paddock fencing isnot painted.
- 459
- 460 Martha Chabinsky stated that she believes this should be applied for before more discussion
- takes place.
- 462
- 463 Doug Chabinsky stated that there is a difference between a picket fence and a paddock fence.
- 464 There are other instances of fences that are not painted and closer to the center of Town than this.465 Martha Chabinsky stated that these are not composite fences. Doug Chabinsky explained that
- this owner has stated that, if he has to paint his fence, he would rather go composite.
- 467
- 468 Linda Kaiser suggested a rail steel fence that looks like painted wooden fencing, but this is quite469 expensive.
- 470

#### APPROVED

471 Doug Chabinsky stated that he believes a non-painted fence would be better than a composite 472 fence and would become less visible with age. Tom Quinn stated that the regulations are not 473 specific when it comes to equestrian requirements for fencing. 474 475 Mike Emond stated that he would submit an application to the Commission for its next meeting. 476 He asked if an owner could get credit for correcting something on the property that is out of 477 spec. Doug Chabinsky stated that no credit is given, per se, outside of the Commission's thanks. 478 Each item will be reviewed separately. 479 480 Mike Emond stated that he spoke with the Building Inspector and was told that a replacement in 481 kind could be carried out. Doug Chabinsky stated that a repair of fencing can be completed, but 482 installation of a half mile of fencing would require an application. 483 484 Doug Chabinsky moved to adjourn the meeting at 9:01pm. Seconded by Tom 485 Quinn. 486 Roll Call Vote: Doug Chabinsky – aye, Martha Chabinsky - aye, Tom Quinn – aye, 487 Tom Grella – ave, and Nicole Crawford – ave; Motion carried 5-0-0. 488 489 Respectfully submitted, 490 Kristan Patenaude 491 492 Minutes approved: October 19, 2023